

# UNIQUENESS OF $n$ TH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH STRONG SINGULARITY

YIFEI PAN AND MEI WANG

ABSTRACT. By a Lipschitz type condition, we obtain the uniqueness of solutions for a system of  $n$ th order nonlinear ordinary differential equations where the coefficients are allowed to have singularities.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Lipschitz condition was a key part in proving classical results on the existence and uniqueness of ordinary differential equations, as expensively surveyed and summarized in [1]. In this paper, we use a Lipschitz type condition to obtain the uniqueness of solutions of  $n$ th order nonlinear ordinary differential systems where the coefficients are allowed to have singularities.

Our results are in the spirit of the Carathéodory theorem on the existence of ordinary differential equations ([5], Chapter 2), which gives a Lipschitz condition in first order differential equations. The conditions in this paper are in terms of absolute continuity, thus the uniqueness result is on solutions in weaker or more general sense. For first order differential equations, Nagumo's Theorem [8] and its generalizations ([2, 3]) give precise coefficients and sharp order of singularity in the Lipschitz condition. A natural generalization of the classical Carathéodory condition is to higher order linear and nonlinear differential systems (e.g. [4], [6]). Our results are on higher order differential equations with coefficients of singularities.

The main results are stated below. The proofs are provided in the next section. We conclude with corollaries and an example to illustrate the sharpness of the singularity order allowed in the main condition of the Lipschitz type in an  $n$  order differential equation.

Let  $L^1(a, b)$  denote the set of real Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval  $(a, b)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $C^k(a, b)$  the set of  $d$ -dimensional functions with  $k$ th continuously differentiable components on  $(a, b)$ .

The following theorem is on the uniqueness of solutions of differential systems.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A12, 65L05.

*Key words and phrases.* unique continuation, uniqueness, Carathéodory theorem, Grönwall inequality.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the system of differential equations of  $y : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\begin{cases} y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}), & x \in (a, b), \quad a < 0 < b, \\ y(0) = a_0 \\ y'(0) = a_1 \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)}(0) = a_{n-1} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $f : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies the Lipschitz type condition

$$\|f(x, s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) - f(x, r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(x) \frac{\|s_k - r_k\|}{|x|^{n-k-1}}, \quad a. e. \ x \in (a, b) \quad (2)$$

for  $\lambda_k(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_k \in L^1(a, b)$  and  $(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})$ ,  $(r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})$  in a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$  containing  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . If  $u, v \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(a, b)$  are solutions of (1) and  $u^{(n-1)}, v^{(n-1)}$  are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $(a, b)$ , then

$$v(x) \equiv u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b).$$

The follow theorem gives the condition for a function that satisfies a differential inequality to be identically zero, which can be considered as an  $n$ th order Grönwall [7] uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $y : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(a, b)$  and  $y^{(n-1)}$  absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $(a, b)$ ,  $a < 0 < b$ . If

$$y(0) = y^{(1)}(0) = \dots = y^{(n-1)}(0) = \vec{0}$$

and

$$\|y^{(n)}(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(x) \frac{\|y^{(k)}(x)\|}{|x|^{n-k-1}} \quad a. e. \ x \in (a, b) \quad (3)$$

with  $\lambda_k \in L^1(a, b)$ ,  $\lambda_k \geq 0, \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , then

$$y(x) \equiv \vec{0} \quad \forall x \in (a, b).$$

## 2. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The absolute continuity assumption in the theorems is needed in applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Assume  $\phi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(a, b)$ ,  $\phi^{(n-1)}$  absolutely continuous on  $(a, b)$  for  $a < 0 < b$ . If

$$\phi^{(k)}(0) = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

then for any  $\lambda(x) \in L^1(a, b)$ ,  $\lambda(x) \geq 0$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^x \lambda(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{|t|^{n-k-1}} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_0^x \lambda(t) dt \int_0^x \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt \right|, \quad \forall x \in (a, b). \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* By the absolute continuity assumption of  $\phi^{(n-1)}$ , the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus grants the form

$$\phi^{(k)}(x) = \int_0^x \int_0^{t_{n-k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} \phi^{(n)}(t) dt dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-k-1}, \quad x \in [0, b]$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Define

$$g(x) = \int_0^x \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-1}, \quad x \in [0, b].$$

Then  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}[0, b]$ ,  $g^{(n)} = \|\phi^{(n)}\|$  a. e. in  $(a, b)$  and for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(k)}(x) &= \int_0^x \int_0^{t_{n-k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-k-1} \nearrow \text{ w. r. t. } x \in (0, b) \\ g^{(k)}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's formula, for any  $t \in (0, b)$  and  $k = 0, \dots, n-2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(k)}(t) &= g^{(k)}(0) + g^{(k+1)}(c)(t-0) && c \in (0, t) \\ &\leq g^{(k+1)}(t) t \leq g^{(k+2)}(t) t^2 \leq \cdots \\ &\leq g^{(n-1)}(t) t^{n-k-1} \end{aligned}$$

By  $\|\phi^{(k)}(x)\| \leq g^{(k)}(x)$  and the monotonicity of  $g^{(k)}$  on  $[0, b]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \lambda(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} dt &\leq \int_0^x \lambda(t) \frac{g^{(k)}(t)}{t^{n-k-1}} dt && x \in [0, b], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \\ &\leq \int_0^x \lambda(t) g^{(n-1)}(t) dt \leq g^{(n-1)}(x) \int_0^x \lambda(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^x g^{(n)}(t) dt \int_0^x \lambda(t) dt = \int_0^x \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt \int_0^x \lambda(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result holds for  $x \in [0, b)$ . For  $x \in (a, 0]$ , consider  $x^* = -x$  and define

$$\phi^*(x^*) = \phi(-x^*), \quad g^*(x^*) = \int_0^{x^*} \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-1}, \quad x^* \in [0, -a].$$

Repeating the above derivation for  $x \in [0, b)$  leads to

$$\int_0^{x^*} \lambda(-t) \frac{\|\phi^{*(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} dt \leq \int_0^{x^*} \|\phi^{*(n)}(t)\| dt \int_0^{x^*} \lambda(-t) dt, \quad \forall x^* \in [0, -a].$$

Substituting  $t$  by  $-t$  in the integrands and replacing  $x^*$  by  $x = -x^* \in (a, 0]$ ,

$$\int_x^0 \lambda(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{|t|^{n-k-1}} dt \leq \int_x^0 \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt \int_x^0 \lambda(t) dt, \quad \forall x \in (a, 0].$$

Therefore (4) holds for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$

The lemma is to be used in the proof of Theorem 1 below.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\phi(x) = u(x) - v(x)$ ,  $x \in (a, b)$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3:  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(a, b)$ ,  $\phi^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $(a, b)$ , and  $\phi^{(k)}(0) = \vec{0}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . By the Lipschitz property (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| &= \left\| f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(t)) - f(t, v(t), v'(t), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(t)) \right\| \quad (5) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|u^{(k)}(t) - v^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume  $f$  is well defined so that for solutions  $u, v$  on  $(a, b)$ ,  $(u, u', \dots, u^{(n-1)})$ ,  $(v, v', \dots, v^{(n-1)})$  are in the domain for which (2) holds. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, b)$ , define

$$A(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt$$

Applying inequalities (4) within the sum and then using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} dt \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) dt \int_0^\varepsilon \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) dt \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt \\ &= \left( \int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \right) A(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ , then

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \geq 1.$$

However,  $\lambda_k \in L^1(a, b)$  means

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

This contradiction implies that there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, b)$  such that  $A(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$ . Integrating (5) on both sides,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| dt \leq \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|\phi^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt = A(\varepsilon) = 0$$

Hence

$$\|\phi^{(n)}(t)\| = 0 \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon) \implies \phi^{(n)}(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Recall  $\phi^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(0) - v^{(n-1)}(0) = \vec{0}$ . Thus

$$\phi^{(n-1)}(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \phi^{(n)}(t) dt = \vec{0} \implies \phi^{(n-1)}(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Repeating the argument results in

$$\phi(t) = u(t) - v(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Since  $u, v \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(a, b)$  and  $u(0) = v(0)$ , we obtain

$$\phi(t) = u(t) - v(t) \equiv \vec{0}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon].$$

Let  $\varepsilon' = \max\{\varepsilon : \phi(t) = \vec{0}, \forall t \in (0, \varepsilon)\}$ . If  $\varepsilon' < b$ , then  $\phi(t) = \vec{0}$  for  $t \in (0, \varepsilon']$  by the continuity of  $u$  and  $v$ . Then applying the above derivation to functions  $u(x - \varepsilon'), v(x - \varepsilon')$  on the interval  $[\varepsilon', b)$  would yield  $u(t) - v(t) = \vec{0}$  for  $t \in (\varepsilon', \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'')$  for some  $\varepsilon'' > 0$ , which contradicts the definition of  $\varepsilon'$ . Therefore we must have

$$u(t) - v(t) = \vec{0}, \quad \forall t \in [0, b).$$

To obtain the results on  $(a, 0]$ , replacing  $u(x), v(x), x \in (a, b)$  by  $u^*(x) = u(-x), v^*(x) = v(-x), x \in (-b, -a)$  to obtain

$$u^*(t) - v^*(t) = \vec{0} \quad \forall t \in [0, -a).$$

Combining the results we arrived at

$$u(t) - v(t) = \vec{0} \quad \forall t \in (a, b).$$

This concludes the proof for Theorem 1.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Notice that  $y$  satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 3. Define

$$B(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|y^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt$$

for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, b)$ . Applying (4) in Lemma 3 and then (3),

$$\begin{aligned} B(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|y^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} dt \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) dt \int_0^\varepsilon \|y^{(n)}(t)\| dt \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \lambda_k(t) dt \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|y^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt = \left( \int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \right) B(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

$B(\varepsilon) \neq 0$  would imply

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \geq 1.$$

On the other hand,  $\lambda_k \in L^1(a, b)$  implies

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus there must exist  $\varepsilon \in (0, b)$  such that  $B(\varepsilon) = 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$ . Integrating (3) on both sides,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \|y^{(n)}(t)\| dt \leq \int_0^\varepsilon \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(t) \frac{\|y^{(k)}(t)\|}{t^{n-k-1}} \right) dt = B(\varepsilon) = 0$$

which leads to

$$\|y^{(n)}(t)\| = 0 \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon) \quad \implies \quad y^{(n)}(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Consequently,

$$y^{(n-1)}(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon y^{(n)}(t) dt = \vec{0} \quad \implies \quad y^{(n-1)}(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

This argument leads to

$$y(t) = \vec{0} \quad \text{a. e. } t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

where “a. e.” can be removed by the continuity of  $y$ . The interval  $(0, \varepsilon)$  on which  $y \equiv \vec{0}$  can be extended to  $(0, b)$  and  $(a, 0)$  using arguments analogous to the ones used in the proof of Theorem 1.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

### 3. COROLLARIES AND AN EXAMPLE

When  $f$  in Theorem 1 is linear, the results can be stated as the corollary below.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $a < 0 < b$  and  $y : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a solution of

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x, y)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x, y)y = 0, \quad x \in (a, b),$$

where  $y^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $(a, b)$ , and the coefficient functions

$$|a_k(x, y)| \leq \frac{|\lambda_k(x)|}{|x|^{n-k-1}}, \quad \lambda_k \in L^1(a, b), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If

$$y(0) = y^{(1)}(0) = \cdots = y^{(n-1)}(0) = \vec{0},$$

then

$$y \equiv \vec{0} \quad \text{on} \quad (a, b).$$

Theorem 1 is on uniqueness of  $n$ th order differential systems where the  $n$ th derivative of the solution only needs to exist almost everywhere, which is in the spirit of the classical Carathéodory theorem on the existence of ordinary differential equations. Theorem 1 for  $n = 1$  can be stated as the following.

Consider the differential equation

$$y' = f(x, y(x)), \quad x \in (a, b), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

If  $f : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)\| \leq \lambda(x)\|y_1 - y_2\|, \quad \text{a. e. } x \in (a, b)$$

where  $\lambda \in L^1(a, b)$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then the solution of the differential equation is unique.

We conclude by an example to show the sharpness of the orders in (2) and (3).

**An example.** For  $p \in (0, 1/2)$ , let

$$y = e^{-1/|x|^p}, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \quad y^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Then  $y$  and its derivatives are even functions. for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$y' = p \frac{y}{x^{p+1}}$$

$$y'' = p \frac{y'}{x^{p+1}} - p(p+1) \frac{y}{x^{p+2}}$$

$$y''' = p \frac{y''}{x^{p+1}} - 2p(p+1) \frac{y'}{x^{p+2}} + p(p+1)(p+2) \frac{y'}{x^{p+3}}$$

The general form can be written as

$$y^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_k^m \frac{y^{(k)}}{|x|^{m-k+p}}, \quad x \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}, \quad m = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

where  $C_k^m$  are constants depending on  $n, k$  and  $p$ . Formula (6) can be verified by induction. Taking derivative of (6) for  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(m+1)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_k^m \frac{y^{(k+1)}}{x^{m-k+p}} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_k^m (-m+k-p) \frac{y^{(k)}}{x^{m-k+p+1}} \\ (k' = k+1) \quad &= \sum_{k'=1}^m C_{k'-1}^m \frac{y^{(k')}}{x^{m+1-k'+p}} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_k^m (-m+k-p) \frac{y^{(k)}}{x^{m+1-k+p}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m C_k^{m+1} \frac{y^{(k)}}{x^{m+1-k+p}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_k^{m+1}$  are constants depending on  $n, k$  and  $p$ . Therefore (6) holds for all  $m = 1, \dots, n$ . We may write the case of  $m = n$  as

$$|y^{(n)}(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{C_k^n}{|x|^{1+p}} \right) \frac{y^{(k)}(x)}{|x|^{n-k-1+2p}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k(x) \frac{y^{(k)}(x)}{|x|^{n-k-1+2p}}, \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$$

where  $\lambda_k \in L^1(-1, 1)$  for  $p \in (0, 1/2)$ . In (2) and (3), the order of singularity corresponding to  $y^{(k)}$  is  $n - k - 1$ . In this example, the corresponding order is  $n - k - 1 + 2p$ , where  $p \in (0, 1/2)$  can be arbitrarily small. However it is enough to make  $y \neq 0$  on  $(-1, 1)$ . Alternatively, we may write

$$|y^{(n)}(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{C_k^n}{|x|^{1+p}} \right) \frac{y^{(k)}(x)}{|x|^{n-k-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k^*(x) \frac{y^{(k)}(x)}{|x|^{n-k-1}}, \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$$

Now the order of singularity corresponding to  $y^{(k)}$  is  $n - k - 1$  as in (2) and (3), however

$$\lambda_k^*(x) = \frac{C_k^n}{|x|^{1+p}} \in L^q(-1, 1), \quad \forall q < \frac{1}{1+p}, \quad p \in (0, 1/2).$$

Thus we do not have  $y \equiv 0$  as in the conclusions of the theorems.

We are interested in the uniqueness of solutions ordinary differential systems when the coefficients are allowed to have singularities [9, 10]. In this paper, We give a Lipschitz type condition for the uniqueness of weak solutions in the style of the Carathéodory theorem for nonlinear  $n$ th order nonlinear ordinary differential systems. We also give a unique continuation condition for functions satisfying an  $n$ th order Grönwall differential inequality. We use an example to show the sharpness of the order of singularity required in the conditions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL and V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM (1993). *Uniqueness and nonuniqueness criteria for ordinary differential equations*. World Scientific. Singapore.
- [2] Z. S. ATHANASSOV(1990). *Uniqueness and convergence of successive approximations for ordinary differential equations*, Math. Japon 35 2, 351-367.
- [3] Z. S. ATHANASSOV(2010). *On Nagumo's theorem*, Proc. Japan Acad. 86 Ser. A, 41-44.
- [4] (1998) ON EXISTENCE OF OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF NTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH QUASIDERIVATIVES, Archivum Mathematicum (Brno), Vol. 34: 1-12.
- [5] E. A. CODDINGTON and N. LEVINSON (1955). *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [6] B. C. DHAGE, T. L. HOLAMBE and S. K. NTOUYAS (2004). *The method of upper and lower solutions for caratheodory n-th order differential inclusions*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. 2004(8): 1-9.

- [7] T. H. GRÖNWALL (1919). *Note on the derivative with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations*, Ann. of Math. 20 (4): 292-296.
- [8] M. NAGUMO(1926). *Eine hinreichende Bedingung für die Unität der Lösung von Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*, Japan J. Math. **3**, 107-112.
- [9] Y. PAN and M. WANG (2008). *When is a function not flat?* Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **340** (1): 536-542.
- [10] Y. PAN and M. WANG (2009) *A uniqueness result on ordinary differential equations with singular coefficients*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. 2009(56): 1-6.

YIFEI PAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIANA UNIVERSITY - PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
FORT WAYNE, FORT WAYNE, IN 46805-1499

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [pan@ipfw.edu](mailto:pan@ipfw.edu)

MEI WANG, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637  
*E-mail address:* [meiwang@galton.uchicago.edu](mailto:meiwang@galton.uchicago.edu)