

# A REMARK ON FOURIER SERIES OF A COMPLEX MEASURE

YIFEI PAN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the one-dimensional torus. The space of complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ . Any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$  has associated with it a Fourier series

$$\mu \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(n) e^{-2\pi i n x}$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} d\mu(x).$$

The symmetric partial sum is defined as follows

$$S_N(\mu)(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{\mu}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

The Cesaro mean is defined to be

$$\sigma_N(\mu)(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_n(\mu)(x).$$

In this note we prove some basic results about Fourier series of a complex measure. It seems that they are not covered in any textbooks on Fourier series.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$*

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(\mu)(x) &\sim 2\mu(\{x\})N, \\ \sigma_N(\mu)(x) &\sim \mu(\{x\})N \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

The following gives a formula for point mass of a complex measure.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}$*

$$\mu(\{x\}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N(\mu)(x)}{2N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_N(\mu)(x)}{N}.$$

*In particular, if  $\mu(\{x\}) \neq 0$  for some  $x$ , then the Fourier series is divergent at  $x$ .*

It is well-known that there are at most countably many points for which  $\mu(\{x\}) \neq 0$ . We have

---

*Date:* June 10, 2006.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then except at most countably many points on  $\mathbb{T}$ , one has*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N(\mu)(x)}{N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_N(\mu)(x)}{N} = 0.$$

We remark if  $d\mu = f dx$  where  $f \in L^1(d\mu)$ , The corollary follows immediately from the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, since in this case  $\hat{\mu}(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  already! Now we give the Fourier series on  $n$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Define, for  $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\hat{\mu}(\kappa) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{-2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} d\mu(x).$$

The ‘‘cubical partial sums’’ ([1])

$$S_N^c(\mu)(x) = \sum_{\|\kappa\| \leq m} \hat{\mu}(\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x}$$

where  $\|\kappa\| \leq m = \max(|\kappa_1|, \dots, |\kappa_n|)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , one has*

$$S_N^c(\mu)(x) \sim \mu(\{x\})(2N)^n$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$*

$$\mu(\{x\}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N^c(\mu)(x)}{(2N)^n}.$$

Now let us give a version of Fourier transforms. Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure so that  $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ . Define for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi x} d\mu(x).$$

We have the following

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ . Then*

$$\mu(\{x\}) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R \hat{\mu}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

Below is the version for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure so that  $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ . Define for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\mu(x).$$

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ . Then*

$$\mu(\{x\}) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2R)^n} \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \hat{\mu}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi$$

## 2. PROOF

Here is a proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* The proof is standard. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_N(\mu)(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{\mu}(n) e^{2\pi i n x} \\
 &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n y} d\mu(y) e^{2\pi i n x} \\
 &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n(x-y)} d\mu(y) \\
 &= \int_0^1 D_N(x-y) d\mu(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{2\pi i n x}$  is the Dirichlet kernel and is given as

$$D_N(x) = \frac{\sin((2N+1)\pi x)}{\sin(\pi x)}.$$

Define

$$\hat{D}_N(x) = \begin{cases} D_N(x) & x \neq 0, 1 \\ 2N+1 & x = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\hat{D}_N(x)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . It is also true that there exists a positive constant such that

$$|\hat{D}_N(x)| \leq CN.$$

and We also observe

$$\frac{\hat{D}_N(x-y)}{N} \rightarrow 2\delta_{\{x=y\}}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . we have

$$\frac{S_N(\mu)(x)}{N} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{N} \hat{D}_N(x-y) d\mu(y).$$

Applying the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N(\mu)(x)}{N} = \int_0^1 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \hat{D}_N(x-y) d\mu(y) = \int_0^1 2\delta_{\{x=y\}} d\mu(y) = \mu(\{x\}).$$

Now we prove the second result. It is well-known ([1])

$$\sigma_N(\mu)(x) = \int_0^1 K_N(x-y) d\mu(y)$$

where

$$K_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} D_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\sin(N\pi x)}{\sin(\pi x)} \right)^2.$$

Thus the same argument as above applies. ■

Here is a proof of Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} S_N^c(\mu)(x) &= \sum_{\|\kappa\| \leq N} \hat{\mu}(\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} \\ &= \sum_{|\kappa_1| \leq N} \hat{\mu}(\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} \\ &= \sum_{\|\kappa\| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{-2\pi i \kappa \cdot y} d\mu(y) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} \\ &= \sum_{\|\kappa\| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot (x-y)} d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \sum_{\|\kappa\| \leq N} e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot (x-y)} d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n D_N(x_j - y_j) d\mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_N(x)$  is the Dirichlet kernel. The same arguments as in the one-dimensional case now apply. ■

Here is a proof of Theorem 1.5.

*Proof.* By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R \hat{\mu}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi &= \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i \xi y} d\mu(y) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R e^{2\pi i \xi (x-y)} d\xi \right) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function

$$F(R, x, y) = \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R e^{2\pi i \xi (x-y)} d\xi.$$

We see  $|F(R, x, y)| \leq 1$  and  $F(R, x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  if  $x \neq y$ , and  $F(R, x, y) = 1$  if  $x = y$ . So applying the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R \hat{\mu}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^R e^{2\pi i \xi(x-y)} d\xi \right) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{\{x=y\}} d\mu(y) \\ &= \mu(\{x\}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 1.6 can be equally proved as above.

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Professors Adam Coffmann and David Legg for their discussions related to this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] GERALD FOLLAND, *Real Analysis*, 2nd ed. Springer, 2001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIANA UNIVERSITY - PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
FORT WAYNE, FORT WAYNE, IN 46805-1499

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG,  
CHINA

*E-mail address:* pan@ipfw.edu