Minutes of the
First Regular Meeting of the Twenty-Fourth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
September 13, 2004
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of April 12 and 19, 2004
3. Acceptance of the agenda – J. Grant
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Purdue University – E. Blakemore
   b. Indiana University – B. Fife
5. Report of the Presiding Officer (Senate Reference No. 04-1) – G. Bullion
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Executive Committee (Senate Document SD 04-1) – J. Grant
   b. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-2) – D. Oberstar
   c. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 04-3) – D. Oberstar
7. New business
8. Committee reports “for information only”
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: G. Bullion
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: G. Steffen
Secretary: J. Petersen

Senate Members Present:
   B. Abbott, R. Bean, L. Beineke, E. Blakemore, S. Blythe, J. Brennan, M. Codispoti,
   S. Davis, C. Erickson, B. Fife, R. Friedman, J. Garrett, P. Goodmann, J. Grant, T. Grove,
   M. Montesino, G. Mourad, E. Neal, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar, A. Perez, D. Ross,

Senate Members Absent:
   W. Branson, P. Dragnev, D. Erbach, L. Fox, D. Goodman, A. Karim, L. Kuznar,
   Z. Liang, G. Moss, R. Murray, A. Mustafa, H. Samavati

Vacancies: 1 CAET

Attachments:
“Approval of replacement members of the Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs
and Nominations and Elections Committee” (SD 04-1)
“Revised Promotion and Tenure Procedures of the School of Business and Management Sciences (amends SD 95-4)” (SD 04-2) – referred back to SBMS
“Revised Promotion and Tenure Procedures of the School of Education (amends SD 02-17)” (SD 04-3)
“School of Education Promotion and Tenure Procedures” (SD 02-17)
Faculty Members Present:  J. Clausen, B. Hancock, S. Sarratore

Visitors Present:  J. Dahl, P. McLaughlin, K. Stockman

Acta

1. Call to order:  G. Bullion called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of April 12 and 19, 2004:  The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

   J. Grant moved to approve the agenda as distributed.

   The agenda was approved as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

   a. Purdue University:

      E. Blakemore:  I would like to welcome all of you back and also, particularly, Brian Fife, who is in the beginning of his term as the Speaker of the Indiana University faculty; and George, who is the Presiding Officer. I look forward to working with both of you.

   b. Indiana University:

      B. Fife:  I, too, look forward to working with Presiding Officer Bullion and Purdue Speaker Blakemore. It is good to be back in the Fort Wayne Senate after a two-year absence. As we begin another academic year, I would just like to highlight the advancements made on this campus that have been noteworthy. I think great strides have been witnessed over the last four decades. This institution, I believe, is very successful by many criteria. Yet, the challenges confronting our campus community are significant as well. Issues of equity and institutional funding, our collective ability to hold costs down so that education is applicable to our students, and our relationships with Purdue and Indiana Universities are just some of the many issues that I look forward to discussing with all of my IPFW peers who wish to do so. Thank you.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer – G. Bullion:

   I would like to introduce our parliamentarian. Professor Turnipseed was asked to serve as parliamentarian again, and he agreed to do so.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

   a. Executive Committee:
J. Grant moved to approve SD 04-1 (Approval of replacement members of the Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs and Nominations and Elections Committee). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-1 passed on a voice vote.

b. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 04-2) – D. Oberstar:

D. Oberstar moved to approve SD 04-2 (Revised Promotion and Tenure Procedures of the School of Business and Management Sciences (amends SD 95-4). Seconded.

S. Davis moved to refer SD 04-2 back to the School of Business and Management Sciences for further action.

Motion to refer SD 04-2 back to the School of Business and Management Sciences for further action passed on a voice vote.

c. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 04-3) – D. Oberstar:

D. Oberstar moved to approve SD 04-3 (Revised Promotion and Tenure Procedures of the School of Education (amends SD 02-17). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 04-3 passed on a voice vote.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports “for information only”: There were no committee reports.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

G. Bullion asked Senators to introduce themselves and their departmental affiliation. He also introduced the officers of the Senate.

J. Tankel: I am reporting for the Educational Policy Committee which met on Friday. The Educational Policy Committee had brought forward, at the April 12, 2004 meeting, changes for recognition of diversity within our academic programs. It was sent back to the Educational Policy Committee for clarification, and we are scheduled to report to the Senate at our next meeting.

At our meeting on Friday we looked at this issue, and we have taken a step backward since that initial diversity initiative came, within the context of the Bulletin goals and objectives for the IPFW baccalaureate degree. So, we are revisiting Senate document SD 88-33, which states the goals and objectives of the IPFW baccalaureate degree which was written in 1988 and which also reflects the fact that it was written in 1988. We will be reporting to the Senate in October on the framework that we will be bringing forward in which all faculty
will be able to participate in the updating and rewriting of the overall IPFW baccalaureate degree goals and objectives. These provide the framework for assessment now, particularly since the North Central Association and ICHE are now requiring a much more rigorous form of assessment of student learning.

P. Iadicola: Several weeks ago I received an e-mail regarding travel regulation changes in the university. Apparently, there is a problem with the handling of requests for travel reimbursement that occurs long after the date in which travel has occurred. Purdue
University has decided that they no longer, or will severely restrict, the reimbursement for travel that is beyond a certain date after travel. The reason that this may be a problem is that this university has never, in my 25 years, been overly generous in terms of S&E in travel budgets, and departments have always scrimped and have tried to reimburse faculty as much as possible as efficiently as possible. One strategy, done by the School of Arts and Sciences, is that money at the end of the year was used to reimburse faculty who had incurred travel costs earlier which were not reimbursed. This new regulation essentially blocks that from occurring. Potentially it will end up creating a situation of fewer resources going to faculty travel. My response to the e-mail was to request that perhaps Purdue University could look into changing the handling of these forms in order to solve this problem. For the good and welfare of the university, I ask that the administration look into ways that 1) it could be dealt with at the local level in some way, or 2) impress upon Purdue University to reconsider these regulations since they are at potential cost to faculty.

C. Erickson: The Child Care Task Force Committee will be sending out a survey/assessment to all faculty, staff, and students within the next couple of months, hopefully a little bit sooner. We are looking to assess the child-care needs of everybody involved: faculty, staff, and students, to see if there is a need for expanding the center. It will be online, and I will keep you informed as it goes along.

S. Davis: Over the last three or four weeks I have started to receive quite a bit of SPAM both in advertisements and actually some semi-pornographic stuff. I was hoping the university could look into some kind of program that could stop what seems to be an increase in the amount of SPAM on the internet.

J. Tankel: I now have something completely different, for those who do not listen to National Public Radio on Sunday morning. I want to congratulate Jeanette Clausen for representing us so well in doing the puzzle on Sunday morning’s edition on National Public Radio.

M. Wartell: If you did not see the mastodon fly, please go over and see the new mastodon next to the Library. It is spectacular. I think seeing it fly must have been spectacular, too. I missed that as well.

**Enrollments:** I reported to the convocation audience preliminary enrollments. We ended up with four students more than we had last year – 11,810. However, the credit-hour production was about 2,200 more than last year, which means we have even more full-time students than we have had in the past – a rise of approximately five percent in full-time students, which is great. That is the direction we want to go in. We do not want to cut off part-time students, but we would like to have about a 60-40 ratio, and we are approaching that now.

**Occupancy of the housing:** The peak occupancy was 508. There are 568 occupants possible. Due to no-shows and disciplinary issues, we now have 495 people. However, more people are moving in. It is very interesting because we have the ability to allow people to take leases that do not have to start absolutely on the first day of classes. Since
several folks’ leases ended up starting at the end of September, there will be more moving in then. And there will be more people moving in in the spring. So the housing, by any measure, has been successful, and we have met and exceeded the requirements of the
financial model. That bodes very well for another phase of housing, when it gets completely filled up and we have a waiting list. You may not have noticed, but the foundations for the next two buildings are already poured. I find it exciting to see students coming across the bridge, and, even as we were putting up the mastodon, the “parade” of students from the housing was really gratifying. Contrary to the way I believed the students were going to behave, they are not driving, they are actually walking over, which I also think bodes very well. They are bicycling also. When we helped move them in, I saw an awful lot of brand-new bikes. It is really quite amazing.

In October I will bring you a high-tech report of a preview of what we will show to the Board of Trustees on the third-year progress of our Strategic Plan. That will be a longer and more detailed statistical report of what we are doing. We will also report on athletics, probably in November, which is when the report was requested.

If you have any questions, I will be glad to answer them.

R. Friedman: With the additional building of residence halls, how many more students will that be?

M. Wartell: I cannot tell you the exact number. What I can tell you is that it will probably be four or five stories instead of just three. Have all of you been over there to see them? They are spectacular. In fact, there are a number of students who have said, “That’s why I came to IPFW.” There are students from New York and a music therapy student from Pennsylvania. An athlete from California said, “I saw the housing – this is where I wanted to come.” Really, it has made a difference. Also, at least for this year, there is an option for single faculty to live there.

J. Brennan: When I was over there the other day, I noticed the ditch there looks like a mud hole. Is there anything we plan on doing about that?

M. Wartell: It will slowly become a grassy area. The kind of grass that they plant is a wetlands grass, and it can only be planted in April and November, and we missed the April planting. So they will plant in November, and it will turn into what I understand will be similar to wetlands.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:35 p.m.

Jacqueline J. Petersen
Secretary of the Faculty