Minutes of the
Sixth Regular Meeting of the Twenty-Second Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
February 10, 2003
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of January 13, 2003
3. Acceptance of the agenda – J. Grant
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Indiana University – M. Nusbaumer
   b. Purdue University – P. Hamburger
5. Report of the Presiding Officer – R. Sedlmeyer
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Executive Committee (SD 02-11) – J. Grant
   b. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 02-12) – E. Blakemore
   c. Executive Committee (SD 02-13) – J. Grant
7. New business
8. Committee reports “for information only”
   a. Library Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 02-24) – D. Oberstar
   b. Nominations and Elections Committee – R. Friedman
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: R. Sedlmeyer
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: D. Marshall (absent)
Secretary: J. Petersen

Senate Members Present:
   B. Abbott, P. Agness, L. Beineke, E. Blakemore, S. Blythe, M. Bookout, H. Broberg,
   C. Carlson, C. Chauhan, S. Choi, M. Codispoti, C. Erickson, L. Fox, R. Friedman, J. Grant,
   T. Grove, P. Hamburger, S. Hannah, S. Hartman, L. Hite, P. Iadicola, S. Isiorho, M. Kimble,
   J. Knight, L. Kuznar, M. Lipman, A. Mustafà, M. Myers, M. Nusbaumer, D. Oberstar,
   J. Purse-Wiedenhoeft, D. Ross, H. Samavati, G. Schmelzle, J. Tankel, J. Toole,
   L. Vartanian, N. Younis

Attachments:
“Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Composition of Senate Committees and
Subcommittees” (SD 02-11, as amended)
“Proposed amendments to SD 90-3 (Criteria for Librarian Promotion and Tenure)” (SD 02-12)
“Criteria for Librarian Promotion and Tenure” (SD 90-3, as amended)
“Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Nonvoting status of Compliance Coordinator
(Ref: SD 01-15)” (SD 02-13)
Acta

1. Call to order: R. Sedlmeyer called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of January 13, 2003: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

   P. Hamburger moved to approve the agenda with the following amendment (in bold):

   8. Committee reports “for information only”
      a. Library Subcommittee (SR No. 02-24) – D. Oberstar
      b. Nominations and Elections Subcommittee – R. Friedman (report on Purdue Speaker election and Presiding Officer election)

   Seconded.

   Motion to amend the agenda passed on a voice vote.

   Motion to approve the agenda, as amended, passed on a voice vote.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

   a. Indiana University:

      M. Nusbaumer: I have an update on the presidential search at Indiana University. The search firm has contacted 152 individuals. Currently there are 137 at universities, and 15 others are in non-academic positions. Twenty-five of the applicants are women. Although ethnicity has not officially been identified, there are nine candidates who are African-American or Hispanic. Most individuals are located in the United States, although several are in Canada and Europe, and ages range from late 40s to early 60s.

   b. Purdue University:

      P. Hamburger: I have two short items to report:
1. Chancellor Wartell, Vice Chancellor Susan Hannah, and Mike Nusbaumer and I, as speakers, decided that we will meet regularly to improve communications between the administration and faculty. We will report what we discuss.

2. Parking fees will go up slightly. The reason that this is just a slight increment is because it will be with pre-tax dollars if you use payroll deduction.

5. **Report of the Presiding Officer:**

R. Sedlmeyer: I recently received from Vice Chancellor Hannah an e-mail of the summary of the survey that was taken about a year ago. I would like to report what I learned from the summary so far. This is just a personal view.

What I learned from the HERI Summary (so far) (PowerPoint presentation):

- I am doing something my parents never dreamed of …

  It says in the summary that only 11% of academics on this campus have parents who are academic. It is kind of interesting: I have parents who were not only not academics, but they never even dreamed of going to college. I was the first of my generation to go to the university. So I am doing something they never dreamed of. But they are very proud of the fact that I am here.

- Which I want to do well …

  Ninety-nine percent of us on this campus think that teaching is something that we think is very important to do well. And I certainly believe that. I am doing something I really want to do well, and I love my job. It said that about 78% of us really enjoy our job here. I certainly do. I wake up every morning whistling. I love this job! I do not know about the job of Presiding Officer …

- And I love my job …

- Because it lets me be independent, gives me opportunities to develop new ideas and allows me to pursue synergistic relationships with my colleagues. I have a cave of my own, and I control that cave. I have classes that are my own, and I am very independent in how I teach those classes. The kind of endeavors I pursue give me opportunities to develop new ideas, particularly in the courses and curriculum and delivery methods, also to pursue scholarly endeavor to create or apply new knowledge. Because of all this, I think I have become a much better member of this campus community.

- But …

- I really wish I were paid more …
Only about 38% are really happy with our salary and fringe benefits.

- And I still have not figured out how to use WebCT (and am having my doubts that anyone can help me!). A lot of us want to move into this new technology age, and we want to have technology support our courses, but we have not quite figured out how to do it, and we have not quite yet, as a university community, got the necessary technical support. But help is on the way.

- And, like, wouldn’t it be nice to have free parking and a free membership to Gates …

- And I’d also like fries with that …

I must say, overall, just from a personal view in regard to the summary, I think I was very positive in filling out mine. I have learned to become content with whatever I have, no matter what the circumstances (except when I do not get to play basketball over at Gates). I would just like to encourage each of you, as we go through this summary (I think there is going to be a lot more coming out from Vice Chancellor Hannah and other avenues), to reflect on the reason you are here and to come to the university every day with a nice, positive attitude, in spite of some of the shortcomings we might have.

6. **Committee reports requiring action:**

   a. **Executive Committee (SD 02-11) – J. Grant:**

      J. Grant moved to approve SD 02-11 (Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Composition of Senate Committees and Subcommittees). Seconded.

      E. Blakemore moved to amend SD 02-11 by striking paragraph 5.1.5. Seconded.

      E. Blakemore moved to close debate. Seconded.

      Motion to close debate passed on a voice vote.

      Motion to amend SD 02-11 passed on a voice vote.

      M. Nusbaumer moved to further amend SD 02-11 by renumbering the paragraphs 5.1.3 through 5.1.5 and adding the term “administrative” between the words “include” and “faculty” in the bolded part of paragraph 5.1.3. Seconded.

      Motion to further amend SD 02-11 passed on a voice vote.

      P. Iadicola moved to close debate. Seconded.

      Motion to close debate passed on a voice vote.

      Motion to approve SD 02-11, as amended, passed on a voice vote.
b. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 02-12) – E. Blakemore:

   E. Blakemore moved to approve SD 02-12 (Proposed amendments to SD 90-3 [Criteria for Librarian Promotion and Tenure]). Seconded.

   Motion to approve SD 02-12 passed on a voice vote.

c. Executive Committee (SD 02-13) – J. Grant:

   J. Grant moved to approve SD 02-13 (Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Nonvoting status of Compliance Coordinator [Ref: SD 01-15]). Seconded.

   Motion to approve SD 02-13 passed on a voice vote.

7. New business:

   There was no new business.

8. Committee reports “for information only”:

   a. Library Subcommittee (SR No. 02-24) – D. Oberstar:

      D. Oberstar presented SR No. 02-24 (Comparison of IPFW Library and Other Indiana University Campuses) for information only.

   b. Nominations and Elections Committee) – R. Friedman:

      R. Friedman announced that there was one nominee each for Speaker of the Purdue University Faculty and for Presiding Officer. Elaine Blakemore has been elected Speaker and Richard Hess has been elected Presiding Officer.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

   M. Codispoti: I would like to comment on the comments that have been made about the library and the REX system that have been tangentially mentioned here on the floor today. There was a document approved last year in front of the Senate at the April 18 meeting. It is Senate Document 01-14, which did address the ReservesEXpress system. If you are not aware of that, please look at it because it was brought to the Senate in an appropriate manner.

   M. Nusbaumer: I have a comment on Senator Oberstar’s comments regarding SR No. 02-24. Having watched the legislative process for a number of years now, I am struck not by the failure of our local northeast Indiana representatives to push as hard as they can for this campus, but from the wider body consistently and continually treating us as second-class citizens. I think our local representatives have done a fairly good job of trying to press
for our interests. It is the larger body that perceives there are classes of citizens in the state of Indiana.

P. Hamburger: Who decides when Legislative Day is happening? Why does this happen the same day the Senate meets? (So many senators are not here, and many senators then could not go.) Who decides when this date is set?

S. Alderman: It is a day that is set up by Hoosiers for Higher Education. It was originally scheduled to be this Wednesday, but about three weeks ago they discovered that the State chamber had a major event going on at the same time. So, Hoosiers for Higher Education changed their date at the very last minute to be today, which was not well received by any of the outlying campuses. It is generally done on a Wednesday rather than Monday.

10. The meeting adjourned at 1:02 p.m.

Jacqueline J. Petersen
Secretary of the Faculty