Minutes of the
Second Regular Meeting of the Twentieth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
October 16, 2000
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of September 11, 2000
3. Acceptance of the agenda - M. Downs
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Purdue University - J. Silver
   b. Indiana University - B. Fife
5. Report of the Presiding Officer - L. Wright-Bower
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Agenda Committee (SD 00-2) - M. Downs
   b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-3) - L. DeFonso
7. Question Time (Senate Reference No. 00-3)
8. New business
   Agenda Committee (SD 00-4) - M. Downs
9. Committee reports "for information only"
10. The general good and welfare of the University
11. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: L. Wright-Bower
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: J. Njock Libii
Secretary: B. Blauvelt

Senate Members Present:

Attachments:

"Approval of replacement members of the Developmental Studies Subcommittee, the General Education Subcommittee, and the Educational Policy Committee" (SD 00-2)

"Proposed amendment to SD 98-22, The Plan for the Assessment of Student Academic Achievement (Approved 4/12/99)" (SD 00-3)

"The Plan for the Assessment of Student Academic Achievement" (SD 98-22, as amended)

"Procedures regarding the rewarding of Honorary Degrees" (SD 00-4)

Senate Members Absent: C. Drummond, B. Harwood, N. McCroskey, A. Pugh
IPSGA Representative: J. Mailand
Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, J. Clausen, J. Jones, D. McCants
Visitors Present: B. Caylor, J. Dahl, M. Gruss, A. Weissner

Acta

1. Call to order: L. Wright-Bower called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of September 11, 2000: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

   M. Downs moved to approve the agenda

   The agenda was approved as distributed.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

   a. Purdue University: J. Silver had no report.
   b. Indiana University:

   B. Fife: Thanks in large part to Annie Weissner of University Relations, the
campus has adopted a Herman B. Wells resolution as has the other campuses with an I.U. affiliation.

At the present time there is little of salience to this campus being debated at the University Faculty Council. I would note, however, that last week President Brand, in his State of the University report, focused primarily on the central importance of the arts and humanities in the academy. It is important for all of us to ponder our role as educators in higher education. Our top objective is to espouse a liberal arts education. While there is certainly a role for academies for such things as the promotion of economic development, it is certainly not our raison d'être.

Lastly, I forwarded a message from Dan Reagan of Ball State University, the faculty member on the Indiana Commission for Higher Education, to all faculty on the "issues du jour" bulletin board. On Saturday, November 4, the annual state AAUP meeting will be held at the University of Indianapolis. One of the featured topics is the role of sports in higher education, which is of interest to all of us in the IPFW community. Professor Murray Sperber of IU, as well as the president of the NCAA, will be there to speak on the issues. I encourage my colleagues to attend, if possible.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer - L. Wright-Bower:

L. Wright-Bower: We have a new Senator representing the School of Education, Gloria Campbell-Whatley. Also, our IPSGA representative is Jody Maihland. Please welcome these two new people to our proceedings.

There are a couple of committees that are still chairless. They have met, but are having difficulty finding one individual willing to take on the chair responsibility. I hope that won't be a problem for much longer.

Please put February 14 on your calendar. February 14 is the next State House Day where we all get on the bus and go down to Indianapolis to talk with our legislators. This would be an especially good time to show your support for IPFW and our funding--get on the bus, come on down, have a wonderful lunch, and bring your students. I was incredibly impressed last year at the number of nursing students and the number of buses that they were able to fill with people. I would think that this body would be able to make a rather strong showing along with our students. I would really like to see us have eight or nine buses going down there. I think we would gather a lot of attention and we can show our concern about our lack of equitable funding.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

   a. Agenda Committee (SD 00-2) - M. Downs:
M. Downs moved to approve SD 00-2 (Approval of replacement members of the Developmental Studies Subcommittee, the General Education Subcommittee, and the Educational Policy Committee). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-3) - L. DeFonso:

L. DeFonso moved to approve SD 00-3 (Proposed amendment to SD 98-22 The Plan for the Assessment of Student Academic Achievement [Approved 4/12/99]). Seconded.

Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 00-2):

Using the most recent year of available data, what proportion of total scholarships/fellowships expenditures were used for academic scholarships and what proportion were used for athletic scholarships? Is this ratio expected to change with full implementation of the Division I plan? If so, how?

W. Branson: Using last year's data, the split was 76% academics and 24% athletics. It is difficult to predict what the ratio will be in the future. Athletic scholarship availability needs to more than double over the next two years to meet Division I requirements; however, 15 of the 16 endowed scholarships established over the last two years have been for academics. If that trend continues, then the ratio may not change a whole lot in the future.

M. Downs: Would it be inappropriate to make a commitment to maintain the current ratio? Can we make that a top priority? Can you make a promise to the academic community for the future in regard to the ratio between athletic and academic scholarships?

M. Wartell: The priority is always on academic scholarships. I think that that is why the ratio is that way. We will continue with that priority, but we can't promise that the ratio will be exactly the same because a lot of donations are serendipitous and the ratio may go up or down depending on who happens to donate at the time.

L. Wright-Bower: Senator Downs, does that answer your question?

M. Downs: It's an answer--not the answer I hoped for.
J. Brennan: I am just wondering if the ratio, Madam President, was in the number of scholarships or the dollar value of the scholarships.

W. Branson: It is a dollar ratio.

B. Fife: What, approximately, was the total dollar figure available last year for scholarships?

W. Branson: About $1.25 million.

**What are auxiliary enterprises? Are these expenditures self-supporting endeavors? Explain.**

W. Branson: The generic term "auxiliary enterprises" is an accounting term used to describe two types of operations common to colleges and universities: (a) service departments that provide goods or services that may be commercially available but that, for reasons of convenience or cost, are more effectively provided through a unit of the institution, and (b) organized activities which, due to their self-supporting nature, are handled similarly for accounting purposes. Common to both types of operations is the charging of a fee for the service or activity which is related, though not necessarily equal to, the cost of the service.

On this campus, the major auxiliary enterprises include: parking operations, student activities/athletics, printing services, Walb Union operations, Child Care Center, telephone operations, and transportation services. In total, all such operations at IPFW generate more than $4.6 million of revenues and expenses and are individually self-supporting.

**Can the Chancellor tell us whether Purdue University-West Lafayette will now be willing to extend the University's protection, specifically, to persons in regard to sexual orientation?**

M. Wartell: The simple answer to this question is "No, I cannot tell you at this time because the president is reevaluating that policy." Please allow me to give you some further background.

The Purdue non-discrimination policy (Executive Memorandum D1) extends non-discrimination to the entire university community stating that "Purdue University does not condone and will not tolerate discrimination against any individual in the University community for any reason." In this policy, sexual orientation is not explicitly mentioned. In the anti-harassment policy, sexual orientation is specifically protected. On the advice of legal counsel, the absence of sexual orientation from the former policy parallels its similar absence in the federal anti-discrimination statute.

The IPFW community has expressed its desire to include sexual orientation specifically in the non-discrimination section of the IPFW Student Code as requested by the Student
Affairs Committee on March 23, 1999 (SD 98-23). That request has been forwarded to President Jischke and is part of his reconsideration of the Purdue anti-discrimination policy as reported in the *Journal Gazette* on September 30, 2000.

8. **New business:**

   **Agenda Committee (SD 00-4) - M. Downs:**

   M. Downs moved to approve SD 00-4 (Procedures regarding the rewarding of Honorary Degrees). Seconded.

   **Motion to approve passed** on a voice vote.

9. **Committee reports "for information only":** There were no committee reports.

10. **The general good and welfare of the University:**

    J. Grant: I would like to read a letter I received from President Myles Brand after I mailed to him the article in the *News Sentinel*, dated September 12 and written by Bob Caylor, regarding the resolution passed by the Senate last meeting.

    
    Dear Jane:
    Please convey to the IPFW Senate my sincere appreciation for the resolution passed earlier in September in support of my decision about Bob Knight. The Senate resolution is absolutely correct: the interests of Indiana University and the faculty on all its campuses always come first.

    Though there remains concern on the part of some about this action, it is clear that IU must focus its attention on its core mission of teaching and learning, research and professional service. Athletics has a role to play at a university like IU, but never one that overwhelms its academic mission, either in fact or perception. Best wishes. Myles Brand

    M. Wartell: I would like to take this opportunity to inform the Senate about three, maybe four, issues.

    First, during the past several months, since the Senate asked the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee for advice on our transition to NCAA Division I, university planning has continued. As a result, during the next week IPFW will withdraw from the Great Lakes Valley Conference. We will then begin the process of consulting with the Subcommittee on Athletics (SCOA) in accordance with its charter as we work towards joining a new conference. In addition, as details become firm, the athletic program will begin to announce next year's game schedules and matches. Those are set many times two years in advance.
On another matter, Lt. Governor Kernan announced on Friday that the 55 acres directly in front of the State Developmental Center will be transferred through the City of Fort Wayne to the IP Foundation. This land will be the site of the Northeast Indiana Innovation Center, which has already been granted $300,000 in operating funds and $500,000 in venture capital from the 21st Century Fund. The City of Fort Wayne will provide approximately $1.5 million in capital funding and the partners will raise another $2 million. The center will provide opportunities for faculty research, consulting, and for student internships and employment, and will be a strong support for regional economic development.

Finally, yesterday the SCAN Children's Garden was dedicated. The garden, which now occupies land behind the Visual Arts building, adjacent to the cooling, power and electrical station, represents the stages of a child's life. It is really a beautiful addition to the campus. I invite everyone to go visit it because, although not complete, it is stunning and, with a little bit of imagination, you can envision how beautiful it will be and I think it is a wonderful symbol of shared values in support of children.

The final thing I would say to you is that our United Way campaign technically ended last Friday. For those of you who haven't gotten a chance to contribute, please consider that seriously.

M. Nusbaumer: Where is this center?

M. Wartell: It is what I have been calling the "front lawn" of the Developmental Center. All of the open area along Stellhorn will be transferred.

M. Downs: May I return to the matter you raised a few minutes ago? The letter from President Brand reminded me that this body would be remiss if it didn't emphasize that in achieving proper balance between academics and athletics, we don't want to be left with the impression that a great deal of effort is going into raising money for athletics and athletic scholarships and that the administration is unwilling to promise that something like the same kind of effort will be spent raising money for academic scholarships. It may be that that is the intention, but I think it ought to be a matter of public commitment, that all of the effort that is going into preparing this campus for Division I athletic activity ought to be matched by a renewed effort to see to it that the academic efforts on this campus are not submerged by what is being done to raise rather large amounts of money to support our Division I membership. I'd like to see the same kind of bold commitment made publicly by the administration in regard to academic scholarships for students on this campus who are not athletes.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:25 p.m.

Barbara L. Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty