Minutes of the
Sixth Regular Meeting of the Twentieth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
March 12, 19 and 26, 2001
12:00 P.M., Kettler G46

Agenda
1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of January 8, 2001
3. Acceptance of the agenda – B. Fife
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Indiana University - B. Fife
   b. Purdue University - J. Silver
5. Report of the Presiding Officer - L. Wright-Bower
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-5) – L. DeFonso
   b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-6) – L. DeFonso
   c. Rules Committee (SD 00-7) – P. Bingi
   d. Rules Committee (SD 00-8) – P. Bingi
   e. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 00-9) – M. Nusbaumer
   f. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 00-10) – M. Nusbaumer
   g. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-11) – L. DeFonso
   h. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-12) – L. DeFonso
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
   a. Educational Policy Committee (SR No. 00-11) – L. DeFonso
   b. Agenda Committee (SR No. 00-12) – B. Fife
   c. Educational Policy Committee (SR No. 00-13) – L. DeFonso
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: L. Wright-Bower
Parliamentarian: D. Turnipseed
Sergeant-at-Arms: J. Njock Libii
Secretary: B. Blauvelt

Attachments:
“The Honors Program Council [supersedes SD 81-A]” (SD 00-5)
“Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate: Honors Program Council Membership” (SD 00-6)

“Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate: General Provisions and Ad Hoc Committees” (SD 00-7)

“Amendments to the Constitution of the Faculty (with Amendments to the Bylaws): Merger of Agenda and Rules Committees” (SD 00-8)

“Minority Report Regarding the University Resources Policy Committee’s Recommendation on the Move to Division I Athletics” (SD 00-10)

“Academic Calendar, 2003-2004” (SD 00-11)

“Amendments to the Academic Calendar, 2002-2003 [amends SD 99-24]” (SD 00-12)
Session I

(March 12)

Senate Members Present:


Senate Members Absent:


Medical Education Representative: P. Sweazey

Faculty Members Present:


Visitors Present:


Acta

(March 12)

1. Call to order: L. Wright-Bower called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of January 8, 2001: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

   B. Fife moved to approve the agenda.

   The agenda was approved as distributed.
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

a. Indiana University:

B. Fife: Professor Mark Myers will fill the vacancy on the University Faculty Council (UFC) for the remainder of this academic year. An election will be conducted shortly to determine who will serve in 2001-2002. I also want to report that UFC is in the process of adopting a memorial resolution for Professor Michael Downs. A moment of silence was observed for our distinguished colleague on February 12.

Now I think it is necessary to share my perspective on a faculty issue that occupies today’s agenda: Division I status for IPFW. We will undoubtedly discuss substantive issues for and against the move today. The most substantive analysis—indeed the only substantive analysis to date—was presented by our colleagues in BAS and I commend them for their efforts. In terms of procedures, however, as a faculty member on this campus, I have great concerns about the manner in which this process unraveled. Faculty were clearly never part of the planning process and were only brought in late in the process so as to make it appear that this was a joint administrative/faculty endeavor. Indeed, the Senate may or may not vote in favor of the Division I measure today. We will find out shortly. What we must do now, however, on issues that all of us care about as stakeholders of this university, is to conduct meaningful deliberations for faculty as part of the decision-making process. If alternative and dissenting opinions cannot be shared in the academy, then we run the risk of succumbing to group think and history will be replete with examples of the inherent dangers of this decision-making strategy.

b. Purdue University:

J. Silver: I yield to Senator Hamburger.

P. Hamburger:

1) On Saturday President Jischke announced that the new Provost, Sally K. Frost Mason, will start work on July 1. Professor Frost Mason was dean of the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences at the University of Kansas. . . . I believe she will develop good relationships with the regional campuses. . . . Her vita is on the bulletin board.

2) On February 16 I attended a meeting of the Board of Trustees. There are three items I would like to report:

   a) I presented a short report on how deeply we are underfunded by the state legislature. At the end there were no questions, but some remarked that they were
aware of the underfunding and that they support us. Unfortunately they cannot do too much, but they are doing everything that is possible.

b) On the same day they approved the lease agreement for public television and the board also approved the resolution for planning student housing at IPFW. What is really significant about that is that they acknowledged, finally, that they believe it is time for regional campuses to have housing for students. This policy has changed significantly from the previous administration where they really didn’t believe that was appropriate. It is at the planning stage at this moment.

c) Finally, on the same day the Board of Trustees approved the resolution concerning strategic planning for Purdue University, they asked President Jischke to appoint a task force. On March 2 some faculty members attended a meeting at West Lafayette where they appointed a task force. The task force here at IPFW includes: the Chancellor, Michael Wartell; the IU Speaker, Brian Fife; the Purdue Speaker, Peter Hamburger; the Presiding Officer, Linda Wright-Bower; Chair of Faculty Affairs, David Oberstar; Chair of the Educational Policy Committee, Lenore DeFonso; Chair of Student Affairs, Linda Hite; Chair of University Resources Policy Committee, Michael Nusbaumer; the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Susan Hannah; the Vice Chair for Financial Affairs, Walter Branson; the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs, Frank Borelli; the Vice Chancellor for Institutional Research and Planning, Jack Dahl; the Director of University Relations and Communications, Irene Walters; the Director of Development, Linda Ruffolo; the President of the Administrative Staff Council, Diana Burns; the co-chairs of the Clerical and Service Staff Advisory Committee, Anna Martin and Robert Krach; the President of the Student Government Association, Nicholas Gray; the Student Senate representative, Benjamin Van; and from the Community Advisory Council, David Molfenter.

It will be a very short process. The Board of Trustees approved a really hectic agenda. They hired a new executive vice president for this position who started on March 1. We didn’t have any say as to whether we wanted to do this. We have to live with this. Every month they are supposed to report to the Board of Trustees. The deadlines are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 30</td>
<td>Complete a review of mission and vision statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 20</td>
<td>Complete the identification of goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Complete a “final draft” of the plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September-October</td>
<td>Review of the plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2</td>
<td>Presentation of final plans at the Trustee meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the March meeting we got an hour presentation from the President and another hour and a half presentation from the Vice President for Planning. There should be, as much as possible, faculty involvement in this process. We will meet every Tuesday at 3:00 and each of these meetings will be open. I encourage everyone to come. We will give you any information that is necessary through the deans, chairs, and through faculty governance committees and personal contacts. Feel free to raise your voice about what you want there to be in this long-range planning. This is very important. I believe our new President is really interested and I think that is what will be important in the future. The President appointed this task force to be the leader: the Provost—at this moment Ringel and later Sally Frost Mason—and each campus chancellor. The chancellor would like to say a few words about that. I yield to the chancellor.

M. Wartell: The Board of Trustees is committed to this process and the President is committed to the process. Each campus plans for itself. It is not Purdue University—West Lafayette planning for all of us. It is each campus with its own committee writing its own plan. Our campus committee is co-chaired by Brian Fife, Peter Hamburger, and myself. The committee feels awfully big. That is just the nature of trying to get as much representation as we can on the committee. The results of this strategic planning process will have a significant effect on budget projections for the future. That is, the use of budget within the university will be a part of the strategic planning process. In fact, it appears that budget processes at West Lafayette will change in such a way that they will move toward the strategic planner rather than the treasurer and executive vice president for financial affairs. That hasn’t happened yet, but it appears as if it will happen. So, budget and strategic planning will be tied and that makes this a very important process. We’re centering the process in the vice chancellor for academic affairs office in conjunction with Jack Dahl. We hope it will go smoothly. It is an awfully short time period for the first part of the planning process.

S. Hannah: We meet tomorrow for the first time. In order to meet the deadlines, we worked out a process where every three weeks we take up a new question. The committee will present to the larger university community the question and it will have two weeks to get all of the responses back to us. We will be talking at our first meeting about the most effective way to do that. I hope we have broken it down into small enough bites that there will be time to think reasonably and carefully about a piece of it before we go on to the next piece. There are models of other universities that have gone through this. What we need from each of you is your input. The plan will be effective only if we make it effective. What we get out of it is what we put into it. If we can build a wider sense of goals and directions for the university, I think we would all be in much better shape. We wouldn’t be arguing about it, we would simply be getting on with it. I encourage your participation. . . . Our goal is to have a complete draft by graduation.

M. Nusbaumer: I realize the timetable was not set on this campus, but can someone provide input as to why this timetable is so short.

P. Hamburger: This is my guess. . . . The President wants to use this long-term planning to raise funds. When he goes to the legislature, he can say this is what I want to fund, this is what I need to do. . . . He brought in Rab Mukerjea, who has a lot of experience doing strategic planning,
who will coordinate the campus planning efforts. We can do it if we are really serious about planning.

5. **Report of the Presiding Officer - L. Wright-Bower:**

   L. Wright-Bower: Certainly the last six weeks have been incredibly difficult. I would like to take a moment to thank the people who were involved in planning and executing the very touching “Celebration of Life” service for Professor Downs: Barb Blauvelt, Van Coufoudakis, Hermine van Nuis, Rebecca Gallagher, Bill Frederick, Irene Walters, Michael Wartell, Jim Silver, Brian Fife, Dennis Marshall, Charlie Champion, William Skoog, Jim Ator, and myself. Thank you very much.

   It is very difficult to be up here without seeing Mike seated amongst the Senators. I have been very grateful for his mentoring and his help and assistance when I assumed this role as Presiding Officer. I would like to have a moment of silence in memory of our colleague and friend, Michael Downs.

6. **Committee reports requiring action:**

   a. **Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-5) – L. DeFonso:**

      L. DeFonso moved to approve SD 00-5 (The Honors Program Council [supersedes SD 81(A)]. Seconded.

      **Motion to approve passed** on a voice vote.

   b. **Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-6) – L. DeFonso:**

      L. DeFonso moved to approve SD 00-6 (Amendment to the Bylaws of the Senate: Honors Program Council Membership). Seconded.

      **Motion to approve passed** on a voice vote.

   c. **Rules Committee (SD 00-7) – P. Bingi:**

      P. Bingi moved to approve SD 00-7 (Amendments to the Bylaws of the Senate: General Provisions and Ad Hoc Committees). Seconded.
Motion passed on a voice vote.

d. Rules Committee (SD 00-8) – P. Bingi:

P. Bingi moved to approve SD 00-8 (Amendments to the Constitution of the Faculty [with Amendments to the Bylaws]: Merger of Agenda and Rules Committees). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

e. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 00-9) – M. Nusbaumer:

M. Nusbaumer moved to approve SD 00-9 (Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee Report on IPFW’s Move to NCAA Division I). Seconded.

M. Nusbaumer moved to approve a substitute resolution in the form of the minority report from URPC (SD 00-10) and by modifying the last sentence to read: Resolved, the Senate withholds its support for the move to Division I athletics until such time as it has been given the data necessary for making an informed decision. Seconded.

The meeting recessed at 1:18 p.m.

Session II

(March 19)

Senate Members Present:


Senate Members Absent:


Faculty Members Present:
The meeting reconvened at 12:02 p.m.

6. Committee reports requiring action: (cont’d)
   
e. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 00-9) – M. Nusbaumer: (cont’d)

   The following motion was on the floor from the previous session:

   **M. Nusbaumer moved to approve a substitute resolution in the form of the minority report from URPC (SD 00-10) and by modifying the last sentence to read: Resolved, the Senate withholds its support for the move to Division I athletics until such time as it has been given the data necessary for making an informed decision. Seconded.**

   M. Nusbaumer moved to close debate. Seconded.

   Motion to close debate passed on a voice vote.

   K. Squadrito moved that the vote be via paper ballot. Motion died for lack of a second.

   Motion to substitute passed on a show of hands.

   M. Nusbaumer called the question. Second.

   Motion to approve SD 00-10 passed on a show of hands.

   The meeting recessed at 1:17 p.m.

---

Session III

(March 26)

Senate Members Present:

Senate Members Absent:


Faculty Members Present:
L. Balthaser, K. Balthaser, G. Bullion, T. Guthrie, K. Stevenson, D. Thuente

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, M. Gruss
Acta

The meeting reconvened at 12:00 p.m.

6. Committee reports requiring action: (cont’d)

f. University Resources Policy Committee (SD 00-10) – M. Nusbaumer:

See item 6.e.

g. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-11) - L. DeFonso:

L. DeFonso moved to approve SD 00-11 (Academic Calendar, 2003-2004). Seconded.

P. Hamburger moved to recommit SD 00-11 to the Calendar Subcommittee. Seconded.

Motion to recommit failed on a show of hands.

Motion to approve SD 00-11 passed on a show of hands.

h. Educational Policy Committee (SD 00-12) - L. DeFonso:

L. DeFonso moved to approve SD 00-12 (amends SD 99-24, Academic Calendar, 2002-2003). Seconded.

Motion to approve SD 00-12 passed on a show of hands.

7. New business:

C. Drummond moved to approve the following resolution:

That the Senate charge the University Resources Policy Committee with establishing, in consultation with the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee, the university administration and the faculty at large, a set of quantitative and qualitative metrics that would accurately and fairly measure all aspects of the transition to Division I athletics. This set of metrics, reliable data associated with each and rationale for inclusion in the set shall be reported back to the Senate. This data will form the foundation of an informed discussion about university athletics. Seconded.

Motion passed on a show of hands.
D. Oberstar moved to amend the previous motion to replace reference to the University Resources Policy Committee and the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee with appointment of an ad hoc committee of the Senate. Seconded.

Motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

a. SR No. 00-11 (IPFW Academic Calendar Formula [replaces SR No. 98-18]) was presented for information only.

b. SR No. 00-12 (Items under consideration by Senate Committees and Subcommittees) was presented for information only.

c. SR No. 00-13 (General Education Subcommittee report on computer literacy) was presented for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:
M. Nusbaumer: It would be very helpful if, in the fall, all of the Senators be given some kind of handout or brief training on parliamentary procedure. I think it would help all of us to move things along.
L. Wright-Bower: . . . Yes, I think it would be very advantageous to review a couple of the standard parliamentary moves.
B. Fife: A faculty convocation involving Representative Win Moses and Senator Tom Wyss is being planned for later in the semester. They will come to discuss the state budget, to listen to faculty perspectives, and to answer faculty questions with regard to the budget. More details will be forthcoming when the plans are finalized.

M. Kimble: I would like to introduce my fellow senator from chemistry, Ron Friedman, who replaced Jeffery Tok.

M. Wartell: I am sorry to lengthen this already lengthy Senate meeting. The Senate budget has just been promulgated. It came out last Thursday. It is slightly different than other versions of the budget. The most important difference is that our delegation has managed to insert a $700,000 equity adjustment for the second year of the biennium for IPFW. IPFW is the only institution that received equity funds. This may not hold. But this is at least a step in the right direction. In addition to that, the original technology funds were restored. The state technology funds are placed in an Indiana Commission for Higher Education account. The funds don’t come to us directly; they come to us indirectly. They are done by formula so basically the technology funds are restored. We also got our enrollment change formula funded. So, this is a better budget than we expected from the Senate. It is also a budget that has something in it for us, so when you see your Senators, please thank them—especially Senators Robert and Bud Meeks. As I said, that may not hold. Ordinarily, the final budget ends up somewhere between the Senate
budget and the House budget. We will just have to see how that comes down. The Senate Finance Committee budget has no capital projects in it for anyone.

I will be sending a letter out to the faculty sometime this week describing that and adding that column then to the many columns of budgets that we have seen over the course of this legislative session.

M. Nusbaumer: When you say no capital expenditures, does that also mean for renovation?

M. Wartell: No. Renovation and reconstruction are still in there.

D. Oberstar: Does the base budget percentage increase the same in both the Senate and House versions?

M. Wartell: They were slightly different. I think the House budget had a little more in it.

J. Dahl: I believe there was a 1% difference between the two.

M. Wartell: There is another fairly technical aspect of the budget that is a big help to us and that is that they made no assumption about fee increases. That gives us some leverage we didn’t have before.

B. Fife: Was the increase in the Senate 2.1% for year one and 3.8% for year two?

M. Wartell: Approximately. That takes into account the total budget excluding the technology funds.

D. Oberstar: Does that 3.8% includes the equity?

M. Wartell: Yes, because it is in the second year of the biennium. Remember, equity money goes into our base.

L. Wright-Bower: Sometimes you get surveys from your legislators. I received one of those surveys from Senator Long and I replied to it. I wrote some notes about equity funding. I received a very nice personal letter from him saying he was also concerned about that. So, don’t throw out those junk mail opportunities so that we might pleasantly bother our legislators about such issues.

M. Wartell: Remember, the legislature is not finished yet. Don’t lose any opportunity to mention to your legislators that we are grateful for what they have accomplished so far. We needed to be helped; we would like even more. Miss no opportunity for that because they really are on our side and they work very hard for us. The single top priority for the northeast Indiana delegation has been equity funding for IPFW.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

Barbara L. Blauvelt

Secretary of the Faculty