Minutes of the
Third Regular Meeting of the Thirteenth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne
November 8, 1993
Noon, Kettler G46

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of October 11, 1993
3. Acceptance of the agenda - A. Dirkes
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Indiana University - S. Hollander
   b. Purdue University - R. Barrett
5. Report of the Presiding Officer - F. Kirchhoff
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. IU Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 93-6) - S. Hollander
   b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 93-5) - S. Hollander
   c. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 93-6) - S. Hollander
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
   Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 93-7) - A. Dirkes
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: F. Kirchhoff
Parliamentarian: M. Sherr
Sergeant-at-Arms: J. Wilson

Senate Members Present:

Senate Members Absent:
   C. Butler, J. Chandler, V. Coufoudakis, R. Ramsey, C. Steinhaus, W. Utesch, C. White

Representative from Students' Government Association: R. Suri

Faculty Members Present:
   L. Balthaser, G. Blumenshine, J. Jones, D. McCants, R. Novak, D. Pfeffenberger
Visitors Present:
J. Dahl, N. Newell

Attachments:
"Results of the Faculty Board of Review Election" (SR No. 93-8)
"Amendment to Senate Reference No. 85-2 'Guidelines for the Operation of the Office of Continuing Education' (OCE)" (SD 93-5)
"Guidelines for the Operation of the Office of Continuing Education" (SR No. 85-2 as revised)
"Free Period Change" (SD 93-6)

Acta
1. Call to order: F. Kirchhoff called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of October 11, 1993: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:
   A. Dirkes moved to accept the agenda as distributed. Seconded.
   
   Motion passed on a voice vote.

4. Report of the Speakers of the Faculties:
   a. Indiana University: S. Hollander had no report.

   b. Purdue University: R. Barrett had no report.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

   F. Kirchhoff- The report of the Presiding Officer is contained in SR No. 93-5 which indicates the status of documents passed. I would like also to call to your attention a resolution from the Student Senate. This resolution is dated September 27 and it does come out in support of the changes we made do the IPFW Student Code of Rights, Responsibilities and Conduct at our first meeting this year. I have copies of the resolution if you would like to see the exact wording of that document.

6. Committee reports requiring action:

   a. IU Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 93-6) - S. Hollander:
S. Hollander and the IU CIA conducted the election of the Faculty Board of Review (See Senate Reference No. 93-8 attached).

b. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 93-5) - S. Hollander:  
S. Hollander moved to approve SD 93-5 (Amendment to Senate Reference No. 85-2, "Guidelines for the Operation of the Office of Continuing Education"). Seconded.  
Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

c. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 93-6) - S. Hollander:  
S. Hollander moved to approve SD 93-6 (Change in the Free Period). Seconded.  
M. Downs moved to amend SD 93-6 by adding the following words at the end of the second resolve: and that this change become effective with the fall semester 1995. Seconded.  
Motion passed on a show of hands.  
M. Laudeman moved to amend SD 93-6 by adding MW 12:00-1:15 as a new block. Seconded.  
Motion failed on a voice vote.  
M. Downs moved to postpone consideration of SD 93-6 until the next meeting. Seconded.  
Motion passed on a voice vote.

7. New business: There was no new business.

8. Committee reports "for information only" - Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No 93-71- A. Dirkes:  
A. Dirkes presented SR No. 93-7 "Items under Consideration by Senate Committees and Subcommittees" for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:  
F. Kirchhoff- I have a memo to read from Vice Chancellor Wartell. As you recall, at our last meeting the question was raised about the eligibility for the PRF grants on campus. Vice Chancellor Wartell has clarified exactly what happened and has asked me to convey this information to you. I will read his memo:
I want to clarify issues surrounding eligibility for PRF grants mentioned at the October 11, 1993 Senate meeting. The request for clarification of eligibility sent from IPFW to the Purdue central administration attempted only to insure that all faculty on the Purdue payroll were eligible for PRF grants regardless of discipline. In fact, Dr. Ringel approved our request as written. The issue of eligibility of faculty on the 1U payroll was never raised.

I do not view eligibility of the IU payroll faculty as anything but historical. It is definitely not an attempt to cause IU payroll faculty to switch to the Purdue payroll.

S. Hollander: I would hope that someone on this campus would formally request Purdue University to make all faculty on this campus eligible for PRF grants just as all faculty on this campus are eligible for parallel grants from Indiana University.

M. Downs: I was pleased to receive the Indiana Purdue Students' Government action in regard to the Code of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Conduct. The new Management Agreement gives the Chancellor the right to establish an IPFW Code of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Conduct on this campus after consultation with the faculty, which has taken place; with the students, which has taken place; and with the Community Advisory Council, which may or may not have taken place. They have decided to keep their meetings secret and not publish any agenda. I am hoping that the regulation regarding secrecy is eased to the point where the Chancellor can tell us what the results of that consultation have been and what her own decision regarding action on this amendment will be. It is an opportunity, I think, for this campus to make use of a power which has been delegated to us for the first time in the Management Agreement. The Senate has decided that this legal protection is a matter of basic rights. Now we have an opportunity to make clear that we intend to adhere to our own common sense interpretation of what the Management Agreement really says.

10. The meeting adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Barbara L. Blauvelt