Minutes of the  
First Regular Meeting of the Eleventh Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne  
September 16, 1991  
Noon, Kettler G46

1. Call to order  
2. Approval of the minutes of April 8, 1991  
3. Acceptance of the agenda - W. Unsell  
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties  
   a. Indiana University - M. Downs  
   b. Purdue University - A. Finco  
5. Report of the Presiding Officer - W. Frederick (SR No. 91-6)  
6. Committee reports requiring action  
   Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 91-1) - A. Finco  
7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 91-2)  
8. New business  
   a. Michael C. Downs (Senate Document SD 91-1)  
   b. Nominations and Elections Committee (Senate Document SD 91-7) - J. Brennan  
9. Committee reports "for information only"  
   Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 91-3) - A. Dirkes  
10. The general good and welfare of the University  
11. Memorial resolutions  
   a. David Partner Swinehart (Senate Reference No. 91-4)  
   b. Noel P. Dusendschon (Senate Reference No. 91-5)  

Presiding Officer: W. Frederick  
Parliamentarian: S. Harroff  
Sergeant-at-Arms: R. Barrett  

Senate Members Present:  

Senate Members Absent:  

Representative from Medical Education: D. Bell  

Faculty Members Present:
1. **Call to order:** W. Frederick called the meeting to order at 12:07 p.m.

2. **Apprpval of the minutes of Anri18.1991:** The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. **Acceptance of the agenda:**
   
   W. Unsell moved acceptance of the agenda as distributed. Seconded.
   
   Motion passed on a voice vote.

4. **Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:**

   a. **Indiana University:**

   M. Downs: I have no report to make as Speaker of the Indiana University Faculty. I would like to call the attention of Faculty to the initiatives occurring on this campus soliciting volunteers to help in a number of valuable programs. There will also be two fund-raising efforts this year. In particular, I call your attention to the effort concerning athletics on this campus. Although you will note from the question that has been asked that I am a little upset about the manner in which it developed, I am entirely in favor of the desired effect, and I encourage everybody to participate wholeheartedly in that effort.

   b. **Purdue University:**

   A. Finco: I want to second Downs' support for the athletic scholarship fund.

   I want to talk about something that is going to come up before this body for consideration at a later time. It's something that will come out of Intercampus Faculty Council (IFC) someday. We have our first meeting of the academic year on September 25. As has been the case at the last several meetings, the discussion has been about policy and proceedings for accepting credit from vocational and
technical colleges and universities. There is pressure all around the state to do this sort of thing as a consequence of the legislature's actions in the late '80s. As a consequence, I was in contact with Dr. Carolyn Jones of the office of the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs this past summer. She is the chair of the subcommittee of the IFC that has been charged with making recommendations to the IFC regarding this matter. The other members of the subcommittee are Dr. Betty Suddarth, Registrar of Purdue University, and me. This summer we polled the IFC. I don't know the results of the poll, but the poll was to try to get a sense as to whether or not we should have some formal procedures that the various campuses would have to go through before accepting transfer credits from vocational and technical institutions. The first question on the document that was sent around was, "Should the Intercampus Faculty Campus draft and endorse a set of uniform guidelines and procedures for all Purdue faculty to follow when negotiating a special arrangement with another institution for transfer credit?" The answers were "yes," "no," or "unsure." And I circled "yes." And the other question was, "Should special arrangements for transfer credit negotiated by one Purdue faculty obligate only that faculty, or should a special arrangement negotiated by one Faculty automatically obligate all Purdue Faculties?" And the options there were "only the faculty negotiating the arrangements should be obligated," "all faculties automatically should be obligated," and "unsure." I circled the second one. Quite frankly, I don't see, administratively, how anything else would be possible.

In addition and along the same line, because of the way I voted and because of the way I feel, I have been in contact with Jack Dahl. His office really would be impacted by such action, and we came up with a draft policy and procedures for accepting credit from vocational and technical colleges and universities. Should the opportunity present itself or if it is appropriate, I will introduce that draft policy and procedures document on the 25th. In any case, whatever happens in that body, this body will have to ratify it before it becomes reality.

5. **Report of the Presiding Officer:**

W. Frederick: In the neat week or so you will be receiving a brochure describing a $1.5 million campaign for the athletic scholarship endowment fund. I would like to mention a local foundation will match one dollar for every three dollars contributed by faculty or staff from this institution. Individual $500 pledges will receive special recognition on a plaque in the Hilliard Gates Sports Center. Payroll deduction may be extended over a one- to five-year period.

The Indiana-Purdue Student Government Association is requesting increased participation in Senate committees. Ms. Kristina Frazier-Henry, president of the IPSGA, has instituted a new policy requiring her appointees to such committees to sign a commitment pledge promising to attend and participate. She has requested that [Faculty] Senate committee chairs report irregular attendance by student representatives to her.
Attached to the agenda for this committee are copies of correspondence between President Beering, President Ehrlich, and Bob Jesse, President of the Purdue University Board of Trustees, and myself. The student code of conduct approved by the Purdue University Board of Trustees differs substantially from Fort Wayne Senate Document SD 89-28. The differences between the documents approved by Presidents Beering, Ehrlich and Jesse and our own SD 89-28 is problematic. In order to address this difficulty, I invited all three to attend this meeting. President Ehrlich had a scheduling conflict with a September 9th meeting. President Beering declined to attend. I did, however, send a subsequent memo when the meeting date was set by our Agenda Committee as today, the 16th. I was informed, through our Chancellor's office, that "no one from West Lafayette would be attending this meeting." The Chair seeks advice from this body on disposition and final parliamentary resolution of this impasse under new business.

6. Committee reports requiring action: - Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Reference No. 91-1) - A. Finco:

A. Finco conducted the election for a representative to the Purdue University Intercampus Faculty Council. W. Unsell was elected.

7. Question time:

During the past several months, the administration has sought approval for several new initiatives at IPFW:

1. The raising of a very large endowment for athletic scholarships and the renaming of the Athletic Center;
2. The establishment of a $6 million capital campaign for the campus, along with a list of items to be funded by any money raised;
3. The establishment of a new Purdue faculty rank without the routine professional perquisites; Revision of the Code of Student Conduct as approved by the faculty; and
4. Implementation of a new policy on salary increments.

These initiatives have all occurred without any consultation with the appropriate bodies within the faculty governance system.

Why were these significant initiatives taken in this irregular manner and does the administration intend to operate in this way in the future?

Michael Downs
Steve Hollander

J. Lantz: I find troublesome the idea that the administration has not informed, discussed, and consulted with appropriate campus groups or individuals. In all of the administration's dealings with the faculty, staff and other administrators on campus, we have tried to be open and honest about what we have done, what we are doing, and what we hope to do in the future. We have always asked for and appreciated discussion on
items that concern faculty. The faculty, through its representatives, its committees, and its governance have been both directly and indirectly involved in many, if not all, of the items used as examples. As to the final part of the question—“will the administration operate in the same way in the future?”—yes, we will continue to try to move the campus forward and we will condone to make administrative decisions and to consult and discuss with appropriate committees and individuals as we have need to.

M. Downs: Does the Chancellor think her answer would have been more persuasive if in each instance she could have cited the groups and individuals to which she had consulted on these matters? The question says "appropriate bodies" and by this, as co-author of the question, I had in mind committees of this body within the faculty governance system.

J. Lantz: Is Senator Downs asking would I consider addressing each one of the issues?

M. Downs: I realize that addressing each issue may not be something the Chancellor is prepared to do, but I wish to make the point that I would be more persuaded if she had, in answering the question, mentioned the bodies or individuals, particularly bodies within the faculty governance system, which considered and addressed each one of these matters.

J. Lantz: I can do that, but not at this time. I'll be happy to do that.

W. Frederick: Then it is agreed that at the next meeting you will respond to these items.

8. New business:

a. Nominations and Elections Committee - J. Brennan

J. Brennan conducted the election of the Lecture Series Subcommittee. The results of the election are attached. (See Senate Reference No. 91-7)

b. Senate Document SD 91-1: M. Downs


Motion to approve passed unanimously with three abstentions.

9. Committee reports 'for information only' - Curriculum Review Subcommittee (Senate Reference No. 91-3) - A. Dirkes

A. Dirkes presented SR No. 91-3 (Bachelor of Arts with a major in Women's Studies) for information only.

10. The general good and welfare of the University:
D. Cox: We are faced with all of the various things that have to go into the promotion and tenure decisions, and that has brought to the front of my mind, as it did last year (at which time we operated under the new procedures) that we get awfully squeezed. Maybe it affects Arts and Sciences more than it does anybody else because we just have more of everything. I expect it affects all the schools. I simply would like to plead with this body and any members of it who have any effect on the way in which the scheme is scheduled, to take a look at the way it operates and, in particular, from my point of view, to deal with the school-level committees. My guess is that people who operate at other levels need more time, also. I just want you to know we have a problem and, whatever method is available, I hope we can have some changes before next year.

S. Hollander: As I stopped for popcorn on the way to this meeting I saw that, although we have an enormous space problem on this campus, the large space formerly occupied by shipping and receiving and printing services appears not yet to be in use. Do we have plans for using that space?

J. Lantz: Yes, we do. And the only reason we have not started is because the architectural design has not come to us as to how to fit it all. We are not architects. Yes, we do, for a lab and offices.

M. Downs: This item came to me because I am Speaker of the Indiana University Faculty and I call it to the attention of this body and even offer some advice. In at least one school this year, the dean is paying unannounced, unscheduled and uninvited classroom visits. I am sure that the purpose of this is lofty and aims at improving communication and perhaps evaluating teaching and making suggestions. I think that for a long time on this campus a kind of courtesy has existed whereby visiting classrooms result either from an invitation or from the acceptance of an offer--not a surprise visit from an upper-level administrator, or even chairs that are unannounced without permission. I call the attention to the faculty to this courtesy and principle, but that it's the individual faculty member, once students are enrolled, who has some control and option over access and egress to the classroom by anybody who is not an enrolled member of the class. I encourage classroom visitations by administrators, but only after invitation or acceptance of the offer by the individual faculty member. I think it is more easily understood and comprehended and it is much more constructive when it is conducted in that way.

J. Meyers: I might mention the way we arrange it in music. The music faculty requested that they would like to know before the person enters. That doesn't mean they would have to have several days notice at all, just maybe an hour before. Just so the teacher is not surprised, but does not have time to prepare some extra special something because of an administrator's visit.

Memorial resolutions

Memorial resolutions were read for David Parmer Swinehart and Noel P. Dusendschon. A moment of silence was observed for each.
12. The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted;

Barbara L. Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty