Minutes of the  
Seventh Regular Meeting of the Eleventh Senate  
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne  
March 16, 1992  
Noon, Kettler G46

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of February 10, 1992
3. Acceptance of the agenda - W. Unsell
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Purdue University - A. Finco
   b. Indiana University - M. Downs
5. Report of the Presiding Officer (SR No. 91-20) - W. Frederick
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 91-11) - D. Oberstar
   b. Educational Policy Committee (SD 91-13) - S. Sarratore
   c. Faculty Affairs Committee (SD 91-14) - D. Oberstar
7. Question time (Senate Reference No. 91-21)
8. New business
9. Committee reports "for information only"
   Agenda Committee (SR No. 91-22) - W. Unsell
10. The general good and welfare of the University
11. Adjournment

Presiding Officer: W. Frederick  
Parliamentarian: S. Harroff  
Sergeant-at-arms: R. Barrett

Senate Members Present:  

Senate Members Absent:  

Faculty Members Present: L. Balthaser, V. Coufoudakis

Visitors Present: J. Dahl, N. Newell

Attachment:
1. Call to order: W. Frederick called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.

2. Approval of the minutes of February 10, 1992: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. Acceptance of the agenda:

   W. Unsell moved to accept the agenda as distributed. Seconded.

   Motion passed on a voice vote.

4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:

   a. Purdue University:

      A. Finco: The Purdue University Board of Trustees will be at IPFW on March 20. By virtue of the fact that he is the Presiding Officer of the Senate, Professor Frederick will have an-opportunity to address the Board.

      On February 18, Professor Unsell and I attended a meeting of the Intercampus Faculty Council. At that meeting Dr. Carolyn Jones reported that the legislature had passed, and the Governor was expected to sign, a bill which would increase student accessibility to and mobility in higher education in Indiana. We will be affected by this so-called "Work Force Development Initiative" because a portion of the bill mandates that the educational institutions shall jointly identify at least 30 semester credit hours of comparable general-education courses that are eligible to be earned by students to fulfill graduation requirements at each state educational institution. Credit earned in any one of these identified courses shall be transferable among all state educational institutions and shall be offered through on-site instruction, telecommunications or a combination of these. The legislature expects full implementation by January 1, 1994. Dr. Jones expects that this spring representatives of the seven state institutions involved will be meeting to identify the academic disciplines from which the required 30 hours of general-education courses will be selected. She anticipates that representatives from the disciplines so selected will meet, subsequently, to select the particular course(s) in the respective disciplines that will comprise the 30 hours of general-education courses. I do have a copy of the relevant parts of the legislation and will share it with anyone who is interested.

      The Faculty Affairs Committee of the WL Faculty Senate is still investigating CREF cashability or transferability and three-year cliff vesting of TIAA/CREF. At their February 24 meeting, the WL Faculty Senate also had on its agenda a document that would create a Faculty Compensations and Benefits Committee. In the past there has been a University Compensation and Benefits Committee on which the faculty was represented.
It is back to the drawing boards. The science building proposal costed out at $27,600,000--too much to go forward with. The building program is being revised to address this unfortunate circumstance.

The Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs has, thanks to our secretary's efficiency, distributed ballots for the Purdue University Faculty Grievance Board. Ballots are due on March 24. I urge you to vote for the candidates of your choice in this important election,

b. **Indiana University**: M. Downs had no report.

5. **Report of the Presiding Officer**:  
W. Frederick: I have attached to the agenda a report on the current status of documents passed by this body (SR No. 91-20).

6. **Committee reports requiring action**:  
   
a. **Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 91-11) - D. Oberstar**  
SD 91-11 (Research Misconduct Procedures) was on the floor from the previous meeting.

   **M. Downs moved to substitute** SD 91-11 **with the document distributed at the door (SD 91-15 Research Misconduct Procedures). Seconded.**

   **S. Hollander moved to recess** for a 10-minute reading period. Seconded.

   Motion failed on a voice vote.

   **M. Downs moved to postpone consideration of** SD 91-15 **until the next meeting, and that the Senate direct the Faculty Affairs Committee to consider the substitute resolution and to report to the Senate. Seconded.**

   **Motion passed** on a voice vote.

b. **Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 91-13) - S. Sarratore**:  

M. Downs asked that the legislative history of the calendar show that, although it is not explicitly stated, the existence of the so-called "reading week" at the end of each semester, its legitimacy, and, even in some instances, advisability for some courses is still accepted by the administration and the faculty. There was no objection.
Motion to approve passed on a voice vote.

c. Faculty Affairs Committee (Senate Document SD 91-14) - D. Oberstar:

D. Oberstar moved to approve SD 91-14 (Tenure Stop-Out Policy). Seconded.

D. Cox moved to recommit SD 91-14. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

7. Question time:

Q. In her February 20 Campus Update, Chancellor Lantz reported that "funding for library acquisitions will be, a recurring supply-and-expense item automatically eligible for future supply-and-expense increments."

1. Is the implication of this statement that library acquisitions funding will increase at the same rate as the campus supply-and-expense budget?

2. If so, is the cost of library books and journals increasing at the same rate of inflation as general S&E expenditures? Or will this method of funding mean that library acquisitions funds will not keep pace with rising costs in that area?

J. Lantz: What it means is it is there for attention. No, it wouldn't be the same, or it could be the same; it could be more, it could be less. It doesn't say what it would be. That is a decision, it would seem to me, that should come from recommendations from the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee. Supplies and expense increments have, on very rare occasion, been more than inflation, but on many more occasions have not kept up with inflation for supply-and-expense expenditures, which is also the same with other kinds of things we have. So I don't think it means in any way that the library acquisitions fund will necessarily keep pace with inflation. As I understand it, for some things inflation has been over 100%.

8. New business: There was no new business.

9. Committee reports "for information only":

a. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 91-22) - W. Unsell:

W. Unsell presented SR No. 91-22 (Items under consideration in Senate Committees and Subcommittees) for information.
The Agenda Committee has scheduled a Faculty Convocation for April 16, at 11:30, in Neff 101. Information will be forthcoming.

b. Faculty Affairs Committee – D. Oberstar:

D. Oberstar distributed a proposal regarding faculty salaries which will be on the April Senate agenda for action. J. Lantz said she has discussed faculty salaries with the chair of the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee and suggested that the chair of that Subcommittee be invited to a meeting of the Faculty Affairs Committee.

c. Agenda Committee - W. Unsell:

W. Unsell: The Agenda Committee made a written request to the Chancellor in February to form the Promotion and Tenure Committee late in the spring semester, with appointments effective in the following fall semester. This request was in response to problems, particularly in Arts and Sciences, in meeting the deadline because of the number of cases for review. These problems were brought to the attention of this body, I believe, in September. In response to this request, the Chancellor has requested that the schools select their nominees to the campus committee this spring, with names to be submitted to the Chancellor before May 13. Confirmation of this list from the school deans will be given a week before classes begin in the fall. The Chancellor, in consultation with the Speakers of the Faculty, will select the campus committee the first day of classes. This process is being conducted on a trial basis, and will be evaluated in terms of timing and meeting the needs of the process in general. The Agenda Committee supports this selection process and we believe that this will be a valid attempt at meeting some of the deadline problems.

d. Rules Committee - S. Hollander:

S. Hollander: The Rules Committee received this morning an inquiry about whether department chairs were eligible to serve on the campus committee if someone from their department was likely to be a candidate for promotion or tenure. The language of the document appears to be ambiguous. The Rules Committee will be meeting shortly on that and sundry other matters. In the meantime, schools conducting elections for members of the campus Promotion and Tenure Committee ought to have a backup if they have a department chair running for a place on the committee.

10. The General good and welfare of the University: Nothing was mentioned under this item.

11. The meeting adjourned at 12:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara L. Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty