Minutes of the
Third Regular Meeting of the Fifth Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
November 11, 1985
Kettler G46

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of October 14, 1985
3. Acceptance of the agenda
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Indiana University - M. Downs
   b. Purdue University - J. Lantz
5. Report of the Presiding Officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. University Resources Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 85-5
      [revised]) - M. Lipman
   b. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 85-9) - R. Hack
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
   a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 85-2, Guidelines for the
      Operation of the Office of Continuing Education--Report on the Partial
      Implementation of Senate Reference No. 83-2 and Senate Document SD 83-9)
      - R. Barrett
   b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 85-3, Documents under
      Deliberation by Senate Committees and Subcommittees) - M. Lipman
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:
   Codispoti, V. Coufoudakis, M. Crill, J. Davis, L. DeFonso, A. Dirkes, M. Downs, N.
   Hockemeyer, S. Hollander, R. Kovara, M. Kubik, J. Lantz, M. Lipman, D. Mauritzen,
   Pippert, R. Ramsey, M. L. Richeson, J. Silver, J. Smulkstys, J. Sunderman, J. Ulmer,
   J. Violette, K. Wakley, D. Wartzok, J. Wilson, W. Worthley, P. Zonakis

Senate Members Absent:
   S. Beering, G. Bell, J. Brennan, R. Hess, C. Maile, J. Moore, R. Otten, G. Parsons, K.
   Perry, J. Ryan

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Attachments:
Faculty Members Present:
   L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), W. Frederick, T. Guthrie; J. Hersberger, F. Kenworthy

Visitors Present:
   J. Clinton, J. Dahl, M. Dinnerstein, D. Luepke, R. Steiner, J. Toplishek, D. Worthley

Acta

1. **Call to order**: E. Nicholson called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m.

2. **Approval of the minutes of October 14, 1985**: The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. **Acceptance of the agenda**:
   M. Lipman moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.
   The agenda was accepted as distributed.

4. **Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties**:
   a. M. Downs:
      (1) I would like to remind you of the faculty convocation on Thursday, November 21, at 3:00 p.m. in KT G46, Professor Robert Bareikis, who is the chair of the Indiana University Task Force on University Organization, will be here. I don't want to steal his thunder, but the range of options being considered by this committee, of which I am a member, is long and profound—some of which, if accepted, will have really important effects on the relationship between Indiana University faculty and programs, on this campus and the Indiana University system. Because that's the case, I think it will also affect the faculty on this campus and our relationship with the Purdue University system. I am encouraging everybody to come and to listen and to ask questions and to state opinions. I think that Professor Bareikis needs to hear from more than just me on this campus.

      (2) Indiana University is currently considering some important changes in its Code of Academic Ethics. I have sent a copy of these
proposals to the Rules Committee for them to study in terms of the effect it might have on faculty relationships with employees and students on this campus. I am also going to call a meeting of the Indiana University Committee on Institutional Affairs to see if there is a position which we wish to take as a campus and a faculty in relation to these proposals.

(3) This is November, and Professor Lantz and I will be coming back to you about the Campaign for Indiana, and the need to reconsider our priorities. . . .

(4) The other matter which I was going to take up under presiding officer's business is the search-and-screen committee for a new chancellor, but that should come up under general good and welfare, so I am reserving time with the chair to make a statement then.

b. J. Lantz:

(1) On September 20, the Purdue University Board of Trustees approved some changes in policy for Purdue University employees concerning age of retirement and years of service. If you are interested in having a copy of that section of the Board's minutes, please let me know and I will furnish you with a copy. Eventually that information will be sent to all of us.

(2) I would like to discuss what has happened so far on the search-and-screen committee for a chancellor. We have met twice. We have discussed affirmative action as it relates to the chancellor. We have discussed advertising for a national search, the kinds of places where the ad should be placed, and the kinds of letters to be sent to universities, colleges, and so forth to solicit nominations for chancellor. If there are schools that you want to get that letter, please make it known to us, and we will put them on the list. The applications will be coming to Fort Wayne. We have not established the procedure for dealing with the applications, but I will keep you posted. The committee has discussed the criteria for the chancellor and general feelings. We will be pursuing that more and more. If you got your copy this morning of "Briefings," you will see an announcement of the make-up of the committee. If you have questions or concerns about the procedure, please feel free to ask me.

M. Lipman: Did you say the applications for the search-and-screen committee are coming to this campus?

J. Lantz: Yes.
M. Lipman: The AAUP is having a forum tomorrow where faculty are being asked to state what they think the requirements should be for a chancellor. Do you know if members of the search-and-screen committee will be there?

J. Lantz: I do not know. It was not discussed by the committee. I will try to make that meeting.

J. Violette: I would like to ask your opinion of why it has taken somebody from the committee, or wherever, so long to announce to the faculty who the members of the committee are. It was in the newspaper a couple of weeks ago.

J. Lantz: I am not sure I know why. I can only presume, and my presumption might be absolutely inaccurate. We did bring that up at the committee meeting, and we were told it would be announced.

5. Report of the Presiding Officer:

E. Nicholson:

Let me reiterate what Professor Downs said. The faculty convocation will be on Thursday, November 21, at 3:00 p.m. I would encourage all of you, both IU and Purdue Senators, to attend.

We have had a very successful drive with the United Way this year. We had an increase of 46% in the amount of money contributed and an 84% increase in the number of people contributing from this campus. We can be proud of what we have done and how we have shown the community we appreciate what they have done for us.

You will have, later in the agenda, a resolution concerning funding for the fine arts building. I believe it is going to be very difficult for us to join with the Fine Arts Foundation (FAF) in a fund drive this coming year. Some of you know there was some talk of us joining the FAF in a drive to locate a building that might be downtown. Because of a variety of opinions that I have gathered from faculty, staff, legislators, and would-be patrons, it seems very problematic for us to join that drive. I have indicated that to the FAF, although I hope we can support that drive and perhaps benefit from that drive in another sense. I will also encourage, as I have done in the last couple of weeks, our legislators to keep in mind that they have delivered about half of the money we need for the building and, if possible, we would like to have the remainder of the money for the building on this campus.

My last item is, I guess, proof that President Beering reads our Senate minutes. After he read the last minutes, he had someone call me and say that he decided that indeed it was a good idea to review Indiana University programs as well as Purdue University programs. President Beering announced this at the search-and-screen committee prior to coming in and telling me about it. It is his view that he wants to give the Indiana program heads the opportunity to explain their aspirations and their needs. He looks
upon the review process as a process for him to understand the needs of the campus, and, by understanding the needs, will help him to accomplish whatever needs to be accomplished. So he doesn't look at this as just an evaluation, but as something helpful to the campus.

J. Haw: Does a Purdue University review of IU academic programs, as opposed to simply submission of information, imply that Purdue University has directing authority over IU academic programs?

E. Nicholson: I don't believe so, and I don't believe that's his intent. His intent is different from prior review's. I think he wants to identify problems and to come up with solutions to those problems, and, if he can, to help in solving those problems.

M. Downs: Is it expected that Indiana University will use the same device in order to assess the needs, aspirations, goals, and ideals of IU programs in the near future as well?

E. Nicholson: I think a similar device. A couple of years ago, Kenneth Gros Louis started a review of programs on the Bloomington campus and asked if the other campuses wanted to be included. Most of us wanted to because we thought it would be helpful. He mentioned a couple of months ago that this was the year he would like to visit Fort Wayne. He said sometime in the spring. I called him recently, and he said April would be a good month. It would be helpful if he would use the same database President Beering used. I will encourage him to do that.

6. Committee reports requiring action.

a. University Resources Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 85-5 [revised]) - M. Lipman

M. Lipman moved to approve SD 85-5 (revised) (Funding for the Fine Arts Building. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

b. Rules Committee (Senate Document SD 85-9) - R. Hack

S. Hollander moved to approve SO 85-9 (Contempt citation--Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs). Seconded.

E. Nicholson yielded the chair to M. Downs.

During the discussion A. Finco raised a point of order. M. Lipman had asked that someone on the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee give his or her opinion as to whether the charge to that committee is appropriate and whether there
perhaps is reason for conflict. A. Finco asked if that question was appropriate, and the chair ruled that it was.

M. Lipman moved to postpone consideration of SD 85-9 until the January meeting with the proviso that before or as consideration of the document resumes that the Senate receive a report from the Budgetary Affairs Subcommittee as to its actions between then and now. Seconded.

J. Owen asked, as a point of information, that the Parliamentarian include the passage from Robert’s Rules of Order on the duties of ex-officio members. (See Senate Reference No. 85-4)

Motion passed on a voice vote.

M. Downs yielded the chair back to E. Nicholson.

7. New business

There was no new business.

8. Committee reports "for information only"

a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Reference No. 85-2) - R. Barrett


b. Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 85-3) - M-Lipman

M. Lipman presented Senate Reference No. 85-3 (Documents under Deliberation by Senate Committees and Subcommittees) for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the university

M. Downs: I want to take responsibility for withdrawing the motion which was placed on the floor concerning the method and composition of the search-and-screen committee for a new chancellor. I wish now that this body had had an opportunity to make a firm statement to President Beering either in favor of, or in opposition to, the proposal. It is important for President Beering to receive an accurate statement of faculty opinion, even when it may not coincide with mine, concerning important matters on this campus. He did not receive such from the faculty because I withdrew that motion. I wish that we had voted on it and sent it to him. Then, as is the right of the president of a great university, he could have done with it as he wishes in an atmosphere in which he had been fully informed as to what the faculty attitude was concerning this important task.
You probably are aware that, despite a desire on my part to be vitally involved in everything that goes on on this campus, I have asked to be excused from that committee. I did so because an important prerogative belonging to this faculty and this community was not recognized in the way the committee was put together and organized. I did not ask anybody else to join me in this action. The membership of the committee is excellent, and I have every confidence in their ability to do this job and to do it very well. It is important, however, for President Beering to know that there is at least one person on this campus who feels that what the president did was an error or misjudgment, not damaging, but evidence to me of a disturbing tendency to underestimate the maturity of this campus and the attachment that we have to important rights and prerogatives exercised with responsibility for a considerable period of time. I felt that the price paid to send him that message would be denying myself a challenging, entertaining, and interesting experience—a small enough price to pay. And when you can act on principle and cut your workload, you ought to do it.

The greater problem created by President Beering's action is that decisions which take prerogatives away from this campus and community give aid and comfort to those on the faculty and in the community who believe that this institution ought to be an independent university. I am saying this because of my long participation in the Indiana University system and concern for its maintenance and vitality. Whenever President Beering and President Ryan take back rights which make it possible for us to respond to local needs and interests, they encourage those who say that remaining to either or both university systems stands in the way of real, progress and development. Unless matters of this sort are addressed with more sensitivity in the future, the time will come when legislation will be introduced to make this an independent campus apart from both systems. Instead of going to Indianapolis to address the real needs of this campus, both presidents will be fighting a rear-guard action against those who, for a variety of reasons, would prefer to have a University of Fort Wayne. I hope that in the future we present our views and that we continue to represent the interests of Fort Wayne within both systems when we speak to the presidents.

J. Owen: I applaud your decision, Mike, and the rationale for it. Since we never got an official distribution of the appointment of members to the search-and-screen committee, I have a question. Were you an ex-officio member as the IU Speaker of the Faculty?

M. Downs: I was elected by Arts and Letters as one of five candidates considered by President Beering for appointment to the committee.

R. Pippert: I appreciate Mike's comments, and I heard several times in his statement the word "systems." That's becoming a term that to me is rapidly developing some very negative connotations. Having served on the Educational Policy Committee for some time and having invested significant amounts of time and effort in an attempt to get some uniform academic regulations, I suspect that that term is again going to take on some additional negative connotations as we attempt to get uniform academic regulations. It is interesting how the policies of the systems change and how precedents
suddenly appear from nowhere. It is interesting to me that it is not the procedure at Purdue University to have advisory committees on the budget, as was pointed out we had. I served on a salary-advisory committee for many years. It is interesting that it's the policy of Purdue University always to have a search committee headed by someone higher in rank in the system than the individual being selected. I don't know whether I got promoted or whether someone else got demoted, but I chaired the previous committee, and the one prior to that was chaired by someone from the local community, and, in fact, for the selection process for the chancellor at this campus there has never been a committee headed by someone higher in rank at the university than the person being selected.

I want to reiterate what Mike has said about conveying whenever possible our concerns and feelings about how the input of this faculty and the administration and the staff and the students and the entire university community at Fort Wayne are taken into consideration when important decisions are being made. I think we have taken a couple of steps backwards recently.

S. Hollander: Don Brown is, by all reports, a very nice guy. I was just wondering whether the chair could identify his official title and indicate whether in fact he does outrank the chancellor of the Fort Wayne campus?

E. Nicholson: I have never seen a ranking. I can't answer that question.

M. Downs: Since the question of ex-officio memberships was raised by Professor Owen, I am going to explain what I did--not recommending it as a course which should be followed by anybody else. I was elected to a panel and appointed by President Beering. I did not write a letter telling him I resigned from the committee. I wrote a letter asking him to excuse me from membership on the committee. I had a subsequent phone call from him in which I renewed my request, and he acceded, not by accepting my resignation, but by telling me that he was willing to excuse me from membership. it was not a matter of preemptory resignation, but instead a request to be excused from membership.

10. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Blauvelt
Secretary of the Faculty