Minutes of the
Fifth Regular Meeting of the Third Senate
Indiana University-Purdue University at Fort Wayne
January 16, 1984

Agenda

1. Call to order
2. Approval of the minutes of December 12, 1983
3. Acceptance of the agenda
4. Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties
   a. Purdue University - J. Lantz
   b. Indiana University - M. Downs
5. Report of the Presiding officer
6. Committee reports requiring action
   a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 83-9) - J. Haw
   b. Purdue University Committee on Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 83-10) - J. Lantz
7. New business
8. Committee reports "for information only"
   Agenda Committee (Senate Reference No. 83-8) - V. Coufoudakis
9. The general good and welfare of the University
10. Adjournment

Senate Members Present:


Senate Members Absent:

S. Beering, N. Fincher, T. Guthrie, E. Haglund, J. Ryan, S. Slack

Parliamentarian: M. Mansfield

Faculty Members Present:

K. Balthaser, L. Balthaser (assoc. fac.), G. Bullion, W. Fredrick

Visitors Present: J. Clinton, N. Newell
Attachments:

"Early Salary Payment" (Senate Document SD 83-10)
"Initial Report on the Ad Hoc Steering Committee for the Academic Master Plan" (Senate Reference No. 83-10)

**Acta**

1. **Call to order:** J. Giusti called the meeting to order at noon.

2. **Approval of the minutes of December 12, 1983:** The minutes were approved as distributed.

3. **Acceptance of the agenda:**

   V. Coufoudakis moved acceptance of the agenda. Seconded.

   **The agenda was accepted** as distributed.

4. **Reports of the Speakers of the Faculties:**

   a. J. Lantz reported the following:

   (1) On November 11, the Purdue University Board of Trustees reelected Donald Powers president of the Board for the next two years. They also approved the offering of the associate of applied science degree given by the Mechanical Engineering Technology Department at Elkhart, the basic memorandum of agreement between IU and Purdue to offer the state-wide technology program at the IU regional campuses (which still must be approved by the IU Board of Trustees), and a resolution declaring certain real estate on this campus as surplus and consenting to convey the piece of property to the City of Fort Wayne to be dedicated for use as a public highway (along St. Joe Road north from State Road 37).

   (2) The Inter-campus Faculty Council has not met yet, and, therefore, has not dealt with the conference travel coordination mentioned earlier in the year.

   b. M. Downs reported the following:

   (1) The committee which was established to evaluate Chancellor Giusti has not yet met because of a continuing controversy between the University Faculty Council and the President over the committee's composition. He added that none of the evaluation committees has met, and will not meet until a settlement has been reached by the principals.
(2) Indiana University tenured faculty members have received a solicitation asking whether they want to be considered for election to the Indiana University Faculty Board of Review. The election will be conducted by February 1.

(3) The University Faculty Council, at its February meeting, will undertake proposals which have come from the Fringe Benefits Committee which would change the 18/20 rule governing retirement of Indiana University faculty members. This proposal would, over a period of time, lower from 65 the age at which one becomes eligible for 18/20 retirement benefits. The intention of the Fringe Benefits Committee is that by the time most faculty reach the age of 62 and have remained in the employment of Indiana University, they will qualify for the benefit which no one currently qualifies for until 65 years of age.

5. **Report of the Presiding Officer:**

   J. Giusti:

   a. Enrollment... This semester we have, unofficially, an enrollment of 9,628. Last year we had 9,746 students enrolled. We are down approximately 1.2%. We have approximately 83,132 credit hours generated compared to 83,361 last year... This is the second-best spring enrollment that we have had.

   b. Academic Master Plan. The members of the ad hoc Steering Committee for the Academic Master Plan have received notification of their appointment to the committee. In accordance with the Bylaws of the Senate, I have submitted a report giving the membership and full charge of this committee to the Senate. I have asked the Secretary to attach the report to the minutes of this meeting [as Senate Reference No. 83-10).

   c. Budget... I am going to submit to the secretary a copy of two letters that I have sent to our legislators so that you can see what I have said on behalf and in behalf of IPFW.... We are just into the legislative session. We have gotten some guidelines from West Lafayette to begin the budget process, and this afternoon is the first "formal" meeting that we are going to have to discuss the budget.... Heretofore, a lot of work has been done by John Carnaghi and his staff.... My first priority is compensation. And, unless I hear something very different from this body, I am going to make every endeavor to go as high as possible to meet that compensation factor. As you know, President Beering has made the statement that he would like to see compensation 2% above inflation, and he hopes that the state legislature will help. Right now there is only about 3 1/2% in the budget for compensation. We all believe that the state will help to some degree. I have sent out a message that I would like to see that whatever the systems finally come to as the percent increase for compensation, that we be at the very top of that range.... You may wish to send letters to the legislators. Send whatever you wish to send as long as you use your own stationery.
d. Stadium. This week the directors of the Indiana-Purdue Foundation will meet and come to some kind of conclusion as to what ought to be, relative to the stadium project. As it stands right now, the county commissioners, in a proposal to the Indiana-Purdue directors, have said that they do not want us to give back any land. What they are looking for is the use of the land for a period of time, and, if we will grant them the use of the land, they will then propose to build a multipurpose stadium in conjunction with our architects that is compatible with the architecture of the campus. They will also improve the grounds around the stadium so that it will be somewhat of a recreation park. They will allow IPFW to have the prime-time usage of the stadium next to that of the AAA team schedule for any event we wish to hold at the stadium, with no charge to IPFW—which means that 100% of any gate receipts would came to IPFW. They will also hire IPFW students as first choice to work the stadium and they will look to California Road to improve that road to avoid congestion on Coliseum Boulevard. They are amenable to a foot bridge across the St. Joe so that there would be easier access. What has not been written, but what I believe is also an agreeable situation, is that if the Foundation wishes, they would agree to a stipulation that the funding package would have to be totally in place within one year, and, if not, then everything remains as is today. If the package is in place, all of it would be given to the university. That is the present proposal to be discussed. I should mention to you, too, that the Students’ Government did rescind their original resolution that they passed back in September. They passed a new resolution in favor of the project. If there is anything that you care to say as individuals, that you care to say as Senators representing the faculty, if there is any action you wish to take, you may want to do something under New Business. I also mention the fact that there is a proposed 1% tax on food and beverages, and I am trying to dissspell from anyone's mind that that has anything to do with IPFW. It has to do with the Coliseum and the Stadium.

M. Dawns: I have two questions. Do you plan on making a personal recommendation to the Foundation with regard to this proposal?

J. Giusti: I have no personal recommendation to make. I have discussed the stadium with individuals. However, I will present to them, at that time, the fact that the students do favor the stadium under the conditions they set forth. If this faculty wishes me to do so, I will carry a recommendation on behalf of the faculty.

M. Downs: Have the presidents of the universities made any recommendations concerning the proposal?

J. Giusti: On Thursday, during the Governor's conference on higher education in Indianapolis, President Beering and Vice President Pinnell met with the Trustees and discussed this. At that time I can say that there was a favorable consensus to go with the proposals made by the county commissioners. Some were extremely enthusiastic, some were less enthusiastic.
M. Downs: The other questions I had was whether registration on January 2 was so successful that it is anticipated that holding registration days on national holidays is something we will continue to do in the future?

J. Giusti: I hope not. That is in the hands of those who make up those kinds of things.

W. Bruening: Are there any insurmountable difficulties that vice Chancellor Carnaghi sees if this [stadium] plan is approved by the Foundation?

J. Carnaghi: I think our concern is funding. What scares me is what happens if it doesn't take off. What are we getting? I hope as we build this contract we will be able to set up a sane kind of maintenance-reserve clause; i.e., if the stadium would revert to the university, money would revert with it. I have to agree with the chancellor, that all I've heard--it's just hard to believe that they are willing to do so much just to be able to plant that stadium there.

M. Downs: I was wondering if the vice chancellor [Carnaghi] is going to be present at the Foundation meeting, and if he is going to be making a recommendation regarding the stadium?

J. Giusti: There are no invitations to the directors' meeting. I have asked that immediately following the Foundation meeting the county commissioners and the mayor be informed by the president of the Foundation, and then that all five-the county commissioners, the president of the Foundation, and the mayor--would meet the press. . .

J. Carnaghi: Chancellor, I trust that it is possible that they agree in principle to move in this direction and then start working on the contract.

J. Giusti: That is correct. . . . There has been nothing legally drawn up at this time.

V. Coufoudakis: Yesterday's editorial in the Journal-Gazette expresses an undercurrent that I think has gotten the university caught between the developers of the stadium and the opponents of the stadium. The question was raised in the editorial as to whether the university was given the straight story about the funding. I think this point is very valid. Originally the idea was presented that the stadium was going to be financed by private funding. Now we are talking about a 1% tax on restaurant users. The restaurant association is anything but united on this subject, and, more than that, scene of our own state legislators are definitely waivering as to whether they are willing to support this project. I am somewhat perplexed with the kind of impressions that we have been given that there is overwhelming support and overwhelming enthusiasm for the project, that the funding is there, and then suddenly there are questions that are being raised by the public.

J. Giusti: I think that the astuteness of the Foundation directors will cover that. . . .
6. Committee reports requiring action:

a. Educational Policy Committee (Senate Document SD 83-9) - J. Haw:

J. Haw moved to approve SD 83-9 (Policies Regarding Continuing Education -TCED)
Seconded.

M. Downs moved to recommit SD 83-9 so that the Educational Policy Committee can
revise the document to include the composition of the Continuing Education Advisory
Subcommittee. Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

b. Purdue University Committee On Institutional Affairs (Senate Document SD 83-10)
- J. Lantz:

J. Lantz moved to approve SD 83-10 (Early Salary Payment). Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

7. New business:

W. Bruening moved the following resolution:

Resolved, that the IPFW Senate supports, in principle, the request to the IPFW
Foundation to use university land to build a multipurpose stadium.

Seconded.

Motion passed on a voice vote.

8. Committee reports "for information only":

V. Coufoudakis presented Senate Reference No. 83-8, "Documents under Deliberation
by Senate Committees and Subcommittees," for information only.

9. The general good and welfare of the University:

J. Giusti: WBNI today boosted its power to 30,000 watts. The mayor and I visited the
studio. I received the key from the chairman of their board, thereby relinquishing the
transmitter and the tower facilities here at IPFW. The mayor pushed the button to
activate the new transmitter and tower, . . . thereby expanding the listening radius to
about 30 miles in each direction.
G. McCullough invited the faculty and staff to the faculty art exhibit at 1026 West Berry during the month of January.

10. **Adjournment:** The meeting adjourned at 1:15 p.m.

    Respectfully submitted,

    Barbara Blauvelt, Secretary